

# On the 2017 board agenda

## Board Leadership Center



In 2017, corporate performance will still require the essentials—managing key risks, innovating and capitalizing on new opportunities, and executing on strategy. But the *context* is changing quickly—and perhaps profoundly—as advances in technology, business model disruption, heightened expectations of investors and other stakeholders, and global volatility and political shifts challenge companies and their boards to rethink strategy development and execution, and what it means to be a corporate leader. Drawing on insights from our recent survey work and interactions with directors and business leaders over the past 12 months, we have highlighted seven items that boards should keep in mind as they help guide the company forward in the year ahead.



### Recognize that connecting and calibrating strategy and risk is more important—and more challenging—than ever.

What a difference a few months can make. The UK's Brexit vote and a Trump win in the U.S., which caught most observers—and many corporate strategies—flat-footed, will have major implications for global markets, and the geopolitical landscape at large. That so few had predicted these sea changes despite exhaustive analysis in the run-up to both events is a stark reminder to businesses of how marketplace signals can be fundamentally missed (be it status quo thinking, bias toward the familiar, or comfortable complacency) and the playing field fundamentally altered overnight. The geopolitical landscape will become clearer, but expect the competitive landscape to remain dynamic and opaque, leaving little lead time. Technology advances and relentless innovation, business model disruption, the emergence of Millennials and other demographic shifts, evolving customer demands and employee expectations, and more will put a premium on corporate agility and the ability to pivot as conditions change. Think about constant transformation, talent risk management and the opportunities afforded by "new" technology. Does management have an effective process to monitor changes in the external environment and test the continuing validity of

strategic and risk assumptions? Does this process provide early warning that adjustments may be necessary? Does the board have the right people and perspectives to make the necessary linkages between external forces and the company's strategy and risk profile? Make strategy an ongoing discussion (versus an annual "decision") that incorporates smart risk taking and robust scenario planning with plenty of what-ifs on the table. In short, "strategy and risk" should be hardwired together and built into every boardroom discussion.



### Develop and execute the strategy based on total impact.

As we noted at the outset, the context for corporate performance is changing rapidly as political, social, and regulatory forces reshape the competitive landscape. Consideration of the corporation's role in society is moving from the periphery to the center of corporate thinking as expectations of investors, customers, employees, and other stakeholders challenge companies to understand the total impact of the company's strategy and activities. Strategy development and execution requires a holistic approach, encompassing the full range of risks and opportunities—financial, reputational, regulatory, resource- and talent-related, and more—that impact the company and its many stakeholders over the long term.



### Take a hard look at the board's composition: Is the talent in the boardroom aligned with the company's strategy and future needs?

Given the demands of today's business and risk environment (and increasing scrutiny by investors, regulators, and the media), aligning boardroom talent with company strategy—both for the short term and the long term as the strategy evolves—should be a priority. Not surprisingly, 43 percent of respondents in our recent survey, *Building a Great Board*, cited "resistance to change" and "status quo thinking" as hampering their board-building efforts. Consider key recommendations of the NACD Report on *Building the Strategic Asset Board* and the WCD Commission/KPMG report, *Seeing Far and Seeing Wide: Moving Toward a Visionary Board*. As noted in these reports, directors should focus squarely on board composition/diversity and succession planning, robust evaluations, tenure limits, director recruitment and onboarding, board leadership, stakeholder communications, and continuing director education—all tailored to the company and industry. In short, "periodic board refreshment" should give way to robust, continual improvement and *active* board succession planning.



### Pay particular attention to potential risks posed by tone at the top, culture, and incentives.

While a robust risk management process is essential to prevent and mitigate risk events, it is not enough. As we have seen in recent years, many of the crises that have posed the most damage to companies—financial, reputation, and legal—have been caused by a breakdown in the organization's tone at the top, culture, and incentives. As a result, boards need to pay particular attention to these capital "R" risks, which may pose the greatest risk of all to the company. In today's business environment, it is more important than ever that the board be acutely sensitive to the tone from (and example set by) leadership and to reinforce the culture of the organization, i.e., what the company does, how it does it, and the culture of compliance, including a commitment to management of the company's key risks.



### Reassess the company's crisis prevention and readiness efforts.

Crisis prevention and readiness has taken on increased importance and urgency for boards and management teams, as the list of crises that companies have found themselves facing in recent years looms large. Crisis prevention goes hand-in-hand with good risk management—identifying and anticipating risks, and putting in place a system of controls to prevent such risk events and mitigate their impact should they occur. We are clearly seeing an increased focus by boards on key operational risks across the extended global organization—e.g., supply chain and outsourcing risks, information technology and data security risks, etc. Do we understand the company's critical operational risks? What has changed in the operating environment? Has the company experienced any control failures? Is management sensitive to early warning signs regarding safety, product quality, and compliance? Of course, even the best-prepared companies will experience a crisis; but companies that respond quickly and effectively—including robust communications—tend to weather crises better. Assess how well the company's crisis planning aligns with its risk profile, how frequently the plan is refreshed, and the extent to which management—and the board—conduct mock crisis exercises. Do we have communications protocols in place to keep the board apprised of events and the company's response?



### Reassess the company's shareholder engagement program.

Shareholder engagement is rapidly becoming a top priority for companies as institutional investors increasingly hold boards accountable for company performance and demand greater transparency, including direct engagement with independent directors. Institutional investors expect to engage with portfolio companies—especially when investors have governance concerns or where engagement is needed to make a more fully informed voting decision. In some cases, investors are calling for engagement with independent directors. As a result, boards should periodically obtain updates from management about its engagement practices: Do we know and engage with our largest shareholders and understand their priorities? Do we have the right

people on the engagement team? What is the board's position on meeting with investors? Which of the independent directors should be involved? Strategy, executive compensation, management performance, environmental and sustainability initiatives, and board composition and performance are likely on investors' radar.



### **Refine and widen boardroom discussions about cyber risk and security.**

Despite the intensifying focus on cyber security, the cyber-risk landscape remains fluid and opaque, even as expectations rise for more engaged oversight. As the cyber landscape evolves, board oversight—and the nature of the conversation—must continue to evolve. Discussions are shifting from prevention to an emphasis on detection and containment, and increasingly focused on the company's "adjacencies," which can serve as entry points for hackers. The Internet of Things and the digital records that surround people, organizations, processes, and products ("code halos") call for deeper—if not wholly different—conversations. The board should help elevate the company's cyber-risk mind-set to an enterprise level, encompassing key business leaders, and help ensure that cyber risk is managed as a business or enterprise risk—not simply an IT risk. Do discussions about M&A, product development, expansion into new geographies, and relationships with suppliers, customers, partners, advisers, and other third parties factor in cyber risk? Help ensure that awareness of—and accountability for—cyber security permeates the organization, with a security mind-set, proper training, and preparation for incident response. Is cyber security risk given regular and adequate time on the board's agenda? Does the board need a separate committee to focus on it? Where are the company's biggest vulnerabilities, and how is it protecting its most critical data sets? Do we benchmark against others in the industry? Do we have a cybersecurity scorecard and a robust cyber-incident response plan? Do directors work under the assumption that any email could become public at any time?

Also see KPMG's *On the 2017 Audit Committee Agenda* at [kpmg.com/globalaci](http://kpmg.com/globalaci)

# Contacts

For more information please contact:



**Jeyapriya Partiban**  
Partner - Head of Risk Consulting  
**M:**+973 39603823  
**T:** +973 17222322  
**E:** jeyapriyapartiban@kpmg.com



**Ramesh Gajula**  
Associate Director  
**M:**+973 39067635  
**T:** +973 17222377  
**E:** rgajula@kpmg.com



**Francis Cardwell**  
Associate Director  
**M:**+973 33130723  
**T:** +973 17201423  
**E:** fcardwell@kpmg.com

[kpmg.com/socialmedia](http://kpmg.com/socialmedia)



[kpmg.com/app](http://kpmg.com/app)



## About the KPMG Board Leadership Center

The KPMG Board Leadership Center champions outstanding governance to help drive long-term corporate value and enhance investor confidence. Drawing on insights from KPMG professionals and governance experts worldwide, the Center delivers practical thought leadership—on risk and strategy, talent and technology, globalization and compliance, financial reporting and audit quality, and more—all through a board lens.

[kpmg.com/globalaci](http://kpmg.com/globalaci)

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

©2017 KPMG Fakro, a Bahrain partnership registered with the Ministry of Industry, Commerce and Tourism (MOICT), Kingdom of Bahrain and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.