



Audit committees can expect their company's financial reporting, compliance, risk and internal control environment to be put to the test in the year ahead. Among the top challenges and pressures: long-term economic uncertainty (with concerns about mounting trade tensions, resurging debt, and market valuations), technology advances and business model disruption, cyber risk, regulatory scrutiny and investor demands for transparency, and political swings and policy changes in the US, UK, and elsewhere.

Drawing on insights from our interactions with audit committees and business leaders over the past 12 months, we've highlighted 6 items that audit committees should keep in mind as they consider and carry out their 2019 agendas:



1. Take a fresh look at the audit committee's agenda and workload

We continue to hear from audit committee members that it is increasingly difficult to oversee the major risks on the committee's agenda in addition to its core oversight responsibilities (financial reporting and related internal controls, and oversight of internal and external auditors). Aside from any new agenda items, the risks that many audit committees have had on their plates - cyber security and IT risks, supply chain and other operational risks, legal and regulatory compliance - have become more complex, as have the committee's core responsibilities. Reassess whether the committee has the time and expertise to oversee these other major risks. Does cyber risk require more attention at the full-board level, or perhaps a separate board committee? Is there a need for a compliance or risk committee? Keeping the audit committee's agenda focused will require vigilance.



2. Sharpen the company's focus on culture, ethics and compliance

The reputational costs of an ethics or compliance failure are higher than ever. Fundamental to an effective compliance programme is the right tone at the top and culture throughout the organisation - one that supports the company's strategy and commitment to its stated values, ethics, and legal/regulatory compliance.

This is particularly true in a complex business environment as companies move quickly to innovate and capitalise on opportunities in new markets, leverage new technologies and data, and engage with more vendors and third parties across longer and increasingly complex supply chains. Closely monitor the tone at the top and culture throughout the organisation with a sharp focus on behaviours, not just results. Help ensure that the company's regulatory compliance and monitoring programmes are up-to-date and cover all vendors in the global supply chain, and clearly communicate the company's expectations for high ethical standards. Focus on the effectiveness of the company's whistle-blower reporting channels and investigation processes through a #MeToo lens. Does the audit committee see all whistle-blower complaints and how they have been addressed? If not, what is the process to filter complaints that are ultimately reported to the audit committee? As a result of the radical transparency enabled by social media, the company's culture and values, commitment to integrity and legal compliance, and its brand reputation are on display as never before.



3. Understand how the finance team will reinvent itself and add greater value in this technology and datadriven environment

Over the next few years, we expect finance functions to undergo the greatest technological transformation since the 90's and the Y2K ramp-up. This will present important opportunities for finance to reinvent itself and add greater value to the business. As audit committees oversee and help guide finance's progress in this area, we suggest several areas of focus.

First, recognising that the bulk of finance's work involves data gathering, what are the organisation's plans to leverage robotics and cloud technologies to automate as many manual activities as possible, reduce costs, and improve efficiencies? Second, how will finance use data and analytics and artificial intelligence to develop sharper predictive insights and better deployment of capital? The finance function is well-positioned to guide the company's data and analytics agenda - and to consider the implications of new transaction-related technologies, from blockchain to crypto-currencies. As historical analysis becomes fully automated, the organisation's analytics capabilities should evolve to include predictive analytics, an important opportunity to add real value. Third, as the finance function combines strong analytics and strategic capabilities with traditional financial reporting, accounting, and auditing skills, its talent and skill-sets must change accordingly. Is finance attracting, developing, and retaining the talent and skills necessary to deepen its bench strength and match its evolving needs? It is essential that the audit committee devotes adequate time to understand finance's transformation strategy.

A

Monitor corporate reporting as well as progress on implementing new standards

The scope and complexity of implementation efforts for the new financial reporting standards and the impact on the business, systems, controls, disclosures, and resource requirements should be a key area of focus.

With IFRS 9 and IFRS 15 being effective for 2018, companies should invest sufficient time during their year- end preparation to ensure that:

- explanations of the impact of transition are comprehensive and linked to other relevant information in the annual report and accounts;
- policy changes are clearly described and explained,
 reflecting company specific information
 as are any associated management judgements;
- performance obligations, a new concept introduced by IFRS 15, are identified and explained, with a focus on how they have been determined and the timing of delivery to the customer; and
- the impact of the new standards on the balance sheetis also addressed, including accounting policies for classifying financial assets according to the entity's business models, measuring expected credit losses, and taking care with presentation of contract assets and liabilities.

Also, for some companies, implementation of the standards involved both manual processes and enabling technology and tools. Manual work- arounds should not become permanent. Audit committees will want to help ensure that any work- arounds are automated as soon as possible.

Looking forward, the new leasing standard (IFRS16) is effective for periods beginning on or after 1 January 2019 - and companies should now be in a position to explain the impact of the new requirements in their December 2018 annual reports. Companies are expected to provide meaningful information about the application of the standard with a focus on their specific circumstances. This would include disclosing qualitative and quantitative information to the extent practicable; identifying any lease portfolios that are significantly impacted; explaining the specific judgements and policy changes prompted by the new model; and identifying the exemptions that companies intend to apply.

But don't lose sight of the basics. In times of change and uncertainty - whether due to new accounting standards or broader economic events like the UK exiting the EU - management's attention will rightly be focused on ensuring that there is quality disclosure around the key judgements and estimates they make in determining material matters in their reports and accounts. However, management also needs to have effective procedures in place to ensure compliance with the basic reporting requirements, which investors take as a given in audited reports and accounts. In 2018 reports we would expect particular attention to be paid to impairment indicators and measurement of recoverable amounts, particularly when the group is carrying significant amounts of goodwill and businesses are under stress.

Finally, take time to stand back and reflect on whether the financial statements are really "true and fair" as the 'public' would expect. Is the annual report really "fair, balanced and understandable"?



Focus internal audit on the company's key risks and controls – including those beyond financial reporting and compliance

As recent headlines demonstrate, failure to manage key risks -such as tone at the top, culture, legal/regulatory compliance, incentive structures, cybersecurity, data privacy, global supply chain and outsourcing risks, and environmental, social and governance risks -can potentially damage corporate reputations and impact financial performance.

The audit committee should work with the Head of Internal Audit to help identify the risks that pose the greatest threat to the company's reputation, strategy, and operations and help ensure that internal audit is focused on those risks and related controls. As business environmental change accelerates, think specifically about horizon scanning and those existential risks that seem to emerge where none appeared imminent even a year ago.

Is the audit plan risk-based and flexible enough to adjust to changing business and risk conditions? Have there been changes in the operating environment? What are the risks posed by the company's digital transformation and by the company's extended organisation—sourcing, outsourcing, sales and distribution channels?

Is the company sensitive to early warning signs regarding safety, product quality, and compliance? Is internal audit helping to assess and monitor the company's culture?

Set clear expectations and help ensure that internal audit has the resources, skills, and expertise to succeed and help the chief audit executive think through the impact of digital technologies on internal audit.

Finally, think about how internal audit might leverage new technology and innovation. This receives less attention than technology within the external audit but probably presents the greater opportunity to both move to a continuous assurance model and enhance the quality of overall assurance.



Reinforce audit quality by setting clear expectations for the auditor

Overseeing the auditor independence is a key part of audit committee's role. To ensure the auditor's independence from management and to obtain critical judgement and insights that add value to the company, the audit committee's direct oversight responsibility for the auditor must be more than just words in the audit committee's terms of reference or items on its agenda.

All parties, the audit committee, external auditor and senior management, must acknowledge and continually reinforce this direct reporting relationship between the audit committee and the external auditor in their everyday interactions, activities, communications and expectations. Consider putting in place a private session with the external auditors to discuss the external auditor's work on a regular basis.

Contact us

Shanghai:

Li Fern Woo Partner

Tel: +86 (21) 2212 2603 lifern.woo@kpmg.com

Grace Chen Partner

Tel: +86 (21) 2212 2780 grace.xh.chen@kpmg.com

Joyce Ge Partner

Tel: +86 (21) 2212 3295 joyce.ge@kpmg.com

Bryan Hu Director

Tel: +86 (21) 2212 3686 bryan.hu@kpmg.com

Beijing:

Frank Mei Partner

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 7188 frank.mei@kpmg.com

Haoyu Liu Director

Tel: +86 10 8553 3343 haoyu.liu@kpmg.com

Jessica Xu Partner

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 5952 jessica.xu@kpmg.com

Johnson Li Partner

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 5975 johnson.li@kpmg.com

Vera Li Director

Tel: +86 (10) 8508 5870 vd.li@kpmg.com

Hong Kong:

Alva Lee Partner

Tel: +852 2143 8764 alva.lee@kpmg.com

Susanne Steyn Director

Tel: +852 2140 2317 susanne.steyn@kpmg.com

Paul McSheaffrey Partner

Tel: +852 2978 8236 paul.mcsheaffrey@kpmg.com

Jens Kessler Director

Tel: +852 2143 8584 jens.kessler@kpmg.com

Jia Ning Song Partner

Tel: +852 2978 8101 jianing.n.song@kpmg.com

Paul Cheng Director

Tel: +852 2847 5075 paul.cheng@kpmg.com

Jeffrey Hau Partner

Tel: +852 2685 7780 jeffrey.hau@kpmg.com

Guangzhou/Shenzhen:

Kelvin Leung Partner

Tel: +86 755 2547 3338 kelvin.oc.leung@kpmg.com

Eric Chang Partner

Tel: +86 20 3813 7088 eric.chang@kpmg.com

Joyce Xie Director

Tel: +86 755 2547 1261 joyce.xie@kpmg.com

kpmg.com/cn

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2019 KPMG, a Hong Kong partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.