

Hong Kong halves stamp duty on commercial property



Summary

On 25 November 2020, the government announced that the double rate of stamp duty would be abolished on commercial property. In recent years stamp duty on commercial property has been levied at rates of up to 8.5%. This has been one reason why large scale real estate transactions have typically been structured as corporate sales rather than direct asset sales. The amended rules may reduce the tax leakage on forced sales of real estate and allow owners of real estate greater flexibility in their exit strategies as well facilitating restructurings although where possible sales of companies owning property are likely to remain more tax efficient.

Overview

In her policy address on 25 November 2020, Carrie Lam, the Chief Executive of Hong Kong SAR, announced that ad valorem stamp duty on commercial properties would be returned to the standard rates, effectively halving the tax payable. The changes do not affect residential property.

Stamp duty on commercial properties was increased in 2013 as part of a raft of measures intended to tackle rampant real estate inflation. The current market situation is different, with many businesses struggling as a result of COVID-19 and social unrest. Certain real estate sectors such as retail are also facing longer term trends such as increased digitalization. The measures are expected to benefit businesses needing to liquidate real estate assets as a result of declining revenues. The measures will take effect from 26 November.

The potential impact on taxpayers

While stamp duty is normally borne by the purchaser of real estate, the cost of the tax can be a factor in agreeing a purchase price. In practice, most large real estate transactions get around this problem by selling the property in a corporate wrapper, which is subject to much lower rates of stamp duty.

The reduction in stamp duty on commercial real estate potentially provides more flexibility on sales options, although corporate sales will generally remain more efficient. The principle effect of the change is likely to be in reducing the tax leakage where a corporate transaction is not an option.

Although group relief is available for transactions within the same 90% ownership group, the rules are complex and have a number of anomalies. The high cost of stamp duty has made some taxpayers cautious about restructuring real estate holdings within a corporate group. This relaxation potentially opens up the chance to look at this again.

KPMG Observations



Hong Kong's stamp duties on real estate have been extraordinarily high by international standards and, as intended, this has reduced liquidity especially in the secondary market. The changes in respect of commercial stamp duty are therefore to be welcomed.

While the economic forces at play in the residential market are not the same as those in the commercial sector, we note that much of the rationale concerning the impact of high stamp duty rates in an economy hit by falling prices and forced sales as a result of economic hardship could apply equally to both sectors. We hope the government will also give some consideration to whether the full suite of measures on residential property (in particular the seller's stamp duty which was designed to target speculation but also impacts forced sales at a loss and out of necessity) remain appropriate in the current market.

2

Contact us



Lewis Y. Lu National Head of Tax Tel: +86 21 2212 3421 lewis.lu@kpmg.com



John Timpany Head of Tax, Hong Kong

Head of Tax, Hong Kong Tel: +852 2143 8790 john.timpany@kpmg.com



Ivor Morris Partner Tel: +852 2847 5092 ivor.morris@kpmg.com



Hong Kong Tax Alert

kpmg.com/cn

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2020 KPMG Huazhen LLP, a People's Republic of China partnership, KPMG Advisory (China) Limited, a limited liability company in China, KPMG, a Macau partnership and KPMG, a Hong Kong partnership, are member firms of the KPMG global organisation of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited ("KPMG International"), a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

© 2020 KPMG Tax Services Limited, a Hong Kong limited liability company and a member firm of the KPMG global organisation of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited ("KPMG International"), a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.