

Reference for a preliminary ruling by the CJEU on the restriction on the deduction of input VAT on customer and employee entertainment expenses

Tax Alert



September 2024

2

Reference for a preliminary ruling by the CJEU on the restriction on the deduction of input VAT on customer and employee entertainment expenses.

The Supreme Court ("SC") has referred to the Court of Justice of the European Union ("CJEU") a question regarding the restriction on the deduction of input VAT on customer and employee entertainment expenses provided for in article 96 of Value Added Tax Law 37/1992 of 28 December 1992 (the "VAT Law").

The reference for a preliminary ruling is set out in this Order of 22 July 2024, which was issued in an appeal in cassation lodged with the SC against a National Court (NC) judgment.

The NC held that while customer and employee entertainment expenses may be deductible for corporate income tax purposes, this is not the case of the related input VAT, which, per sections 4 and 5 of article 96.One. of the VAT Law, is non-deductible for VAT purposes.

Deduction of the input VAT on customer and employee entertainment expenses. The domestic and EU regulatory framework (the "standstill clause").

Articles 95 and 96 of the VAT Law set out certain restrictions on the right to deduct input VAT.

Firstly, article 95.One of the VAT Law on "Restrictions on the right of deduction" provides that:

"Entrepreneurs or professionals may not deduct the input VAT, or VAT paid, on acquisitions or imports of goods or services that are not used directly and exclusively for their business or professional activity."

Moreover, sections 4 and 5 of article 96. One of the VAT Law on "Exclusions and restrictions on the right of deduction" state that:

"No proportion of the VAT paid as a result of the acquisition - including for self-consumption - , import, lease, transformation, repair, maintenance or use of the goods and services listed below may be deducted: [...]

- 4.- Shows and recreational services
- 5.- Goods and services for the entertainment of customers, employees or third parties".

At Community level, <u>VAT Directive 2006/112/EC</u> provides, as a general rule, that input VAT on goods and services used for business or professional purposes is deductible.

However, under what is known as a **"standstill clause"**, the VAT Directive also allows Member States to restrict the deductibility of input VAT to the extent that such restrictions were provided for in their domestic legislation prior to their accession to the European Union.

This clause featured in article 17.6 of the <u>Sixth Directive</u> and, in Article 176 of the <u>Directive</u> now in force, it reads as follows:

"Member States may retain all the exclusions provided for under their national laws at 1 January 1979 or, in the case of the Member States which acceded to the Community after that date, on the date of their accession".

The above "standstill clause" constitutes an exception to the general principle of VAT neutrality as it deems national legislation that provides for restrictions on the right to deduct VAT over and above those provided for in the Community Directive to be in conformity with Community law. Nonetheless, these restrictions are only accepted where they were in force in the relevant Member State's national legislation on 1 January 1979 or prior to the date of its accession to the EU, whichever fell later

Peculiarities of the Spanish case and the "standstill clause"

In Spain's case, the legislation restricting the deduction of VAT entered into force on 1 January 1986¹, the date on which it joined the EU.

Since the restriction on the right to deduction was

force of the Treaty of Accession of Spain to the European Economic Community.

¹ The restrictions provided for in Article 96.One were introduced by the first VAT Law, Law <u>30/1985</u> of 2 August 1985, which entered into force on <u>1 January 1986 (final provision one)</u>, coinciding with the entry into

3

introduced simultaneously with Spain's accession to the EU (and given the absence, until such date, of a valid national provision in this regard) there is some doubt as to the compatibility of the restriction provided for in Spanish law with the VAT Directive and, more specifically, with the "standstill clause'.

These doubts have now reached the SC, which has granted leave for three cassation appeals² in which the Court will analyse whether or not the restriction on the right to deduction provided for in sections 4 and 5 of article 96. One. of the VAT Law is covered by the so-called "standstill clause" provided for in the second paragraph of Article 176 of the current VAT Directive.

The SC has already upheld the restriction on the deduction of VAT on expenses related to customer entertainment, finding it to be in accordance with European law. When it did so, it placed the emphasis on the expression "provided for" found in the European legislation, holding that the "standstill clause" referred not to the "validity", but to the existence, of provisions in the national legislation of the relevant Member State. Since the 1985 VAT Law was approved before 1 January 1986 (the provisions existed in August 1985), it held that the Spanish legislation was not contrary to European law (SC Judgments of 17 February 2001 on appeal for judicial review 8314/1995, and of 7 March 2002 on appeal for judicial review 9156/1996).

However, the SC considers that the time has come to revisit this matter, which revolves around the interpretation of the aforementioned "standstill clause" relied upon by the tax authorities to uphold the restriction on the right to deduct input VAT.

The SC reminds us that VAT is based on the principle of neutrality, and that care should be taken to ensure, on the one hand, that the entrepreneur or professional is not ultimately the end customer in the chain for VAT purposes and, on the other hand, that the tax is correctly collected.

For its part, the CJEU has ruled on the "standstill clause", stating that as a scheme that derogates from the principle of the right to deduct VAT, it should be interpreted in the strict sense (see, in this regard, paragraph 59 of the Metropol and Stadler judgment and paragraph 28 of the Magoora judgment C-414/07). Similarly, in Case C-124/12 AES-3C Maritza East the CJEU held that the exclusion of the right to deduct VAT would not be protected by the *standstill* clause if it was not provided for in the national legislation in force up to the date of accession of the Member State in question to the Union.

With this in mind, in the recently published order, the

SC referred the following questions to the CJEU for a preliminary ruling:

- 1. Are provisions such as sections 4 and 5 of article 96. One of the VAT Law, which preclude the deduction of any proportion of the input VAT on the acquisition of goods and services such as sporting events and those intended for the entertainment of customers, employees or third parties, in accordance with article 168(a) and the first paragraph of article 176 of Directive 2006/112/EC, even if the taxpayer can demonstrate that the relevant expenses are directly related to their business or professional activity, that they were incurred for a strictly business or professional purpose, and that the goods and services have been used for the purposes of taxable transactions carried out by the taxable person, even if the amount in question is a tax-deductible expense for the purposes of personal taxes on income (personal income tax and corporate income tax)?
- 2. Are provisions such as sections 4 and 5 of article 96. One of the VAT Law which introduce restrictions on the right of deduction, and which entered into force on the very day that the Kingdom of Spain joined the European Union (1 January 1986) compatible with the *standstill clause*, even though no provision in force up to the date of such accession envisaged such a restriction?

In its order notifying that the matter was to be referred for a preliminary ruling, the SC expresses doubts as to the conformity with the EU *standstill* clause of sections 4 and 5 of article 96. One of the VAT Law, and warns that the CJEU decision may lead to a shift in the view taken in its previous case law.

Should the CJEU find that Spanish legislation contravenes the EU Directive on VAT, the Judgment may affect both inspection proceedings and any claims and appeals currently underway. In this connection, companies would be well advised to review the criteria applied in prior assessment periods in case of a potential increase in the amount of deductible input VAT. They should also bear in mind the potential effect on corporate income tax or personal income tax - if the undeducted input VAT was treated as a deductible expense for the purposes of such taxes.

² Supreme Court Order of 24 April 2024 (appeal 5101/2023); Supreme Court Order of 29 September 2023 (appeal 246/2023); and Supreme Court Order of 8 February 2023 (appeal 5250/2022).

Contacts

Natalia Pastor Partner KPMG Abogados Tel. 91 456 34 92 npastor@kpmg.es

Miguel Ferrández Partner KPMG Abogados Tel. 91 456 59 99 mferrandez@kpmg.es

Maite Vilardebó Partner KPMG Abogados Tel. 93 253 29 62 mvilardebo@kpmg.es

Montserrat Montoya Partner KPMG Abogados Tel. 93 253 29 32 montserratmontoya@kpmg.es

KPMG offices in Spain

A Coruña

Calle de la Fama, 1 15001 A Coruña Tel.: 981 21 82 41 Fax: 981 20 02 03

Alicante

Edificio Oficentro Avda. Maisonnave, 19 03003 Alicante Tel.: 965 92 07 22 Fax: 965 22 75 00

Barcelona

Torre Realia Plaça de Europa, 41 08908 L'Hospitalet de Llobregat Barcelona

Tel.: 932 53 29 00 **Fax**: 932 80 49 16

Bilbao

Torre Iberdrola Plaza Euskadi, 5 48009 Bilbao Tel.: 944 79 73 00 Fax: 944 15 29 67

Girona

Edifici Sèquia Sèquia, 11 17001 Girona Tel.: 972 22 01 20 Fax: 972 22 22 45

Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Edificio Saphir C/Triana, 116 – 2° 35002 Las Palmas de Grad

35002 Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Tel.: 928 33 23 04 Fax: 928 31 91 92

Madrid

Torre de Cristal Paseo de la Castellana, 259 C 28046 Madrid Tel.: 91 456 34 00

Tel.: 91 456 34 00 **Fax**: 91 456 59 39

Malaga

Marqués de Larios, 3 29005 Málaga Tel.: 952 61 14 60 Fax: 952 30 53 42

Oviedo

Ventura Rodríguez, 2 33004 Oviedo Tel.: 985 27 69 28 Fax: 985 27 49 54

Palma de Mallorca

Edificio Reina Constanza Calle de Porto Pi, 8 07015 Palma de Mallorca Tel.: 971 72 16 01 Fax: 971 72 58 09

Pamplona

Edificio Iruña Park Arcadio M. Larraona, 1 31008 Pamplona Tel.: 948 17 14 08 Fax: 948 17 35 31

San Sebastián

Avenida de la Libertad, 17-19 20004 San Sebastián Tel.: 943 42 22 50 Fax: 943 42 42 62

Seville

Avda. de la Palmera, 28 41012 Sevilla Tel.: 954 93 46 46 Fax: 954 64 70 78

Valencia

Edificio Mapfre
Paseo de la Almeda, 35, planta 2
46023 Valencia

Tel.: 963 53 40 92 Fax: 963 51 27 29

Vigo

Arenal, 18 36201 Vigo Tel.: 986 22 85 05 Fax: 986 43 85 65

Zaragoza

Centro Empresarial de Aragón Avda. Gómez Laguna, 25 50009 Zaragoza

Tel.: 976 45 81 33 Fax: 976 75 48 96

Privacy | Unsubscribe | Contact

© 2024 KPMG Abogados S.L.P., a professional limited liability Spanish company and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

KPMG and the KPMG logo are registered trademarks of KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by quarantee.

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.