



On the 2023 audit committee agenda

KPMG Board Leadership Center

December 12, 2022



The business and risk environment has changed dramatically over the past year, with greater geopolitical instability, surging inflation, and the prospect of a global recession added to the mix of macroeconomic risks companies face in 2023.

Audit committees can expect their company's financial reporting, compliance, risk and internal control environment to be tested by an array of challenges in the year ahead—from global economic volatility and the Russia-Ukraine war to supply chain disruptions, cybersecurity risks and ransomware attacks, and social risks—including the tight talent market. The increasing complexity and fusion of risks, and the unexpected interconnectedness of these risks, put a premium on more holistic risk management and oversight.

In this volatile and opaque operating environment, demands from regulators, investors, and other stakeholders for action as well as increased disclosure and transparency—particularly around climate and other environmental, social, and governance (ESG) risks—will continue to intensify.

Drawing on insights from our interactions with audit committees and business leaders, we've highlighted eight issues to keep in mind as audit committees consider and carry out their 2023 agendas:

- **Stay focused on financial reporting and related internal control risks—job number one.**
- **Clarify the role of the audit committee in overseeing the company's climate and other ESG risks—particularly the scope and quality of ESG/sustainability reports and disclosures.**

- **Maintain a sharp focus on leadership and talent in the finance organization.**
- **Reinforce audit quality and set clear expectations for frequent, candid, and open communications with the external auditor.**
- **Help ensure internal audit is focused on the company's key risks—beyond financial reporting and compliance—and is a valuable resource for the audit committee.**
- **Sharpen the company's focus on ethics, compliance, and culture.**
- **Stay apprised of global tax developments and risks and understand that tax has become an important element of ESG.**
- **Take a close look at the audit committee's composition and skill sets.**



Stay focused on financial reporting and related internal control risks—job number one.

Focusing on the financial reporting, accounting, and disclosure obligations posed by the current geopolitical, macroeconomic, and risk landscape—including the Russia-Ukraine war, supply chain disruptions, cybersecurity, inflation, interest rates, market volatility, and risk of a global recession—will be a top priority and major undertaking for audit committees in 2023. Key areas of focus for the company's 2022 10-K and

2023 filings should include:

Forecasting and disclosures: Making tough calls

The uncertainties posed by the current geopolitical, macroeconomic, and risk landscape, coupled with the extensive use of forward-looking information in financial statements and SEC filings, continue to make disclosures about matters that directly or indirectly impact the company's business a top area of focus. Among the matters requiring the audit committee's attention:

- Disclosures regarding the impact of the Russia-Ukraine war and sanctions, supply chain disruptions, heightened cybersecurity risk, inflation, interest rates, market volatility, and the risk of a global recession
- Preparation of forward-looking cash-flow estimates
- Impairment of nonfinancial assets, including goodwill and other intangible assets
- Accounting for financial assets (fair value)
- Going concern
- Use of non-GAAP metrics.

With companies making more tough calls in the current environment, regulators are emphasizing the importance of well-reasoned judgments and transparency, including contemporaneous documentation to demonstrate that the company applied a rigorous process. Given the fluid nature of the long-term environment, disclosure of changes in judgments, estimates, and controls may be required more frequently.

In reviewing management's disclosures regarding these matters, consider the questions posed by the staff of the SEC's Division of Corporation Finance in its May 2022 [sample comment letter](#) pertaining to the Russia-Ukraine war and related supply chain issues. While the comment letter focuses on the direct and indirect effects of the war in Ukraine, the questions may be instructive in considering the company's disclosure obligations posed by the broader geopolitical, macroeconomic, and risk environment.

Internal control over financial reporting (ICOFR) and probing control deficiencies

Given the current geopolitical, macroeconomic, and risk environment, as well as changes in the business, such as acquisitions, new lines of business, digital transformations, etc., internal controls will continue to be put to the test in the coming year. Discuss with management how the current environment affects management's disclosure controls and procedures and management's assessment of the effectiveness of ICOFR. When control deficiencies are identified, it's important to probe beyond management's explanation for "why it's not a material weakness" and help

provide a balanced evaluation of the deficiency's severity and cause. Is the audit committee—with management—regularly taking a fresh look at the company's control environment? Have controls kept pace with the company's operations, business model, and changing risk profile, including cybersecurity risks?

Audit committee members continue to express concern that overseeing major risks on the committee's agenda—beyond its core oversight responsibilities (financial reporting and related internal controls, and internal and external auditors)—is increasingly difficult. Demands for expanded disclosures regarding ESG risks have heightened concerns about audit committee bandwidth and "agenda overload." The SEC's proposed climate rules, if adopted, will add significantly to the workload of audit committees.

Reassess whether the committee has the time and expertise to oversee these other major risks. Do cybersecurity, climate, ESG, and "mission-critical" risks such as safety require more attention at the full-board level—or perhaps the focus of a separate board committee? The pros and cons of creating an additional committee should be weighed carefully; but considering whether a finance, technology, risk, sustainability, or other committee—and perhaps the need for directors with new skill sets—would improve the board's effectiveness can be a healthy part of the risk oversight discussion.



Clarify the role of the audit committee in overseeing the company's climate and other ESG risks—particularly the scope and quality of ESG/sustainability reports and disclosures.

Intensifying demands for higher quality ESG disclosures should prompt boards to reassess their oversight of ESG risks and disclosures. As investors, regulators, ESG rating firms, and other stakeholders seek ESG information that is decision-useful, accurate, and comparable, clarifying the role and responsibilities of the audit committee should be a priority. With the SEC's rulemaking proposals for climate and cybersecurity disclosures, its anticipated SEC rulemaking on human capital disclosures, recent ESG-related SEC enforcement actions, and shareholder proposals on a broadening array of ESG issues, clarifying the audit committee's ESG responsibilities is critical.

Boards are taking various approaches to oversight of climate, cybersecurity, and other ESG risks. *Also see [On the 2023 board agenda for a discussion of cybersecurity risk](#)*. For many, that oversight is a full-board function, with much of the heavy lifting done at the committee level. The compensation, nom/gov, and

audit committees all may have some responsibility. For example, the compensation committee may oversee human capital and executive compensation issues, and the nom/gov committee, or in some cases, a sustainability committee, may have general oversight responsibility for ESG. The audit committee typically has responsibility for overseeing ESG disclosures and disclosure frameworks, financial risks, legal/regulatory compliance risks, and perhaps the adequacy of the company's ERM processes generally.

With board standing committees playing a vital role in helping boards carry out their ESG oversight responsibilities, information sharing, communication, and coordination among committees and with the full board are essential. Given the financial reporting and internal control implications associated with ESG risks, the issue is particularly acute for audit committees. Audit committees need to recognize the input that other committees require, and those committees must appreciate the information needs of the audit committee. Key areas in which information sharing is critical include:

- Considering where ESG information is disclosed—e.g., sustainability reports, SEC filings, and company websites.
- Helping to ensure that ESG information that is voluntarily disclosed is subject to the same level of rigor as financial information, including disclosure controls and procedures.
- Selection of an ESG reporting framework(s). The SEC climate proposal is based in part on the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures framework.
- Overseeing management's disclosure committee, including:
 - Clarifying the disclosure committee's role and responsibilities in connection with disclosures contained in SEC filings and those made voluntarily in sustainability reports, websites, etc.—including coordination with cross-functional management ESG team(s) or committee(s).
 - Reassessing the composition of the disclosure committee. Given the SEC's climate and cybersecurity proposals, and the intense focus on ESG, companies should consider expanding management's disclosure committee (or perhaps create a subcommittee) to include appropriate functional leaders, such as the chief sustainability officer, chief diversity officer, chief supply chain officer, and chief information security officer.
 - In preparation for the SEC's proposed climate disclosure rules, encouraging

management's disclosure committee to work with management's ESG team or committee to identify gaps, consider how to gather and maintain quality information, and closely monitor the rulemaking process.

- Expanding management's subcertification process to support CEO and CFO quarterly 302 certifications regarding design and operational effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures (including internal controls).



Maintain a sharp focus on leadership and talent in the finance organization.

Finance organizations face a challenging environment today—addressing talent shortages, while managing digital strategies and transformations and developing robust systems and procedures to collect and maintain high-quality ESG data both to meet investor and other stakeholder demands and in preparation for potential SEC disclosure requirements. At the same time, many are contending with difficulties in forecasting and planning for an uncertain environment.

As audit committees monitor and help guide finance's progress in these areas, we suggest two areas of focus:

- Many finance organizations have been assembling or expanding management teams or committees charged with managing a range of ESG activities, including enhancing controls over ESG information being disclosed in sustainability reports, and preparing for the SEC's climate disclosure rules—e.g., identifying and recruiting climate and ESG talent and expertise, developing internal controls, and putting in place technology, processes, and systems.
 - Does the finance organization have the leadership, talent, skill sets, and other resources necessary to address climate and other ESG reporting and to ensure that quality data is being collected and maintained?
 - How far along is the finance organization in its preparations for new/ enhanced ESG disclosures?
- The acceleration of digital strategies and transformations that many companies are undertaking continues to affect finance organizations, presenting important opportunities for finance to add greater value to the business. As the finance function combines strong analytics and strategic capabilities with traditional financial reporting, accounting, and auditing skills, its talent and skill-set requirements must change accordingly.

Is finance attracting, developing, and retaining the talent and skills necessary to match its evolving needs?

It is essential that the audit committee devote adequate time to understanding finance's ESG reporting and digital transformation strategies and help ensure that finance has the leadership, talent, and bench strength to execute those strategies.



Reinforce audit quality and set clear expectations for frequent, candid, and open communications with the external auditor.

Audit quality is enhanced by a fully engaged audit committee that sets the tone and clear expectations for the external auditor and monitors auditor performance rigorously through frequent, quality communications and a robust performance assessment.

In setting expectations for 2023, audit committees should discuss with the auditor how company's financial reporting and related internal control risks have changed in 2023 in light of the geopolitical, macroeconomic, and risk landscape—including the Russia-Ukraine war, supply chain disruptions, cybersecurity, inflation, interest rates, market volatility, climate change and other ESG issues, changes in the business, and the risk of a global recession.

Set clear expectations for frequent, open, candid communications between the auditor and the audit committee—beyond what's required. The list of required communications is extensive, and includes matters about the auditor's independence, as well as matters related to the planning and results of the audit. Taking the conversation beyond what's required can enhance the audit committee's oversight, particularly regarding the company's culture, tone at the top, and the quality of talent in the finance organization.

Audit committees should also probe the audit firm on its quality control systems that are intended to drive sustainable, improved audit quality—including the firm's implementation and use of new technologies. In discussions with the external auditor regarding the firm's internal quality control system, consider the results of PCAOB inspections, Part I and Part II, and internal inspections and efforts to address deficiencies. Remember that audit quality is a team effort, requiring the commitment and engagement of everyone involved in the process—the auditor, audit committee, internal audit, and management.



Help ensure internal audit is focused on the company's key risks—beyond financial reporting and compliance—and is a valuable resource for the audit committee.

At a time when audit committees are wrestling with heavy agendas—and issues like ESG, supply chain disruptions, cybersecurity and data governance, and global compliance are putting risk management to the test—internal audit should be a valuable resource for the audit committee and a crucial voice on risk and control matters. This means focusing not just on financial reporting and compliance risks, but on critical operational and technology risks and related controls, as well as ESG risks.

ESG-related risks are rapidly evolving and include human capital management—from diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) to talent, leadership, and corporate culture—as well as climate, cybersecurity, data governance and data privacy, and risks associated with ESG disclosures. Disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls should be a key area of internal audit focus. Clarify internal audit's role in connection with ESG risks and ERM more generally—which is not to manage risk, but to provide added assurance regarding the adequacy of risk management processes. With the tight labor market, does internal audit have the talent it needs? Do management teams have the necessary resources and skill sets to execute new climate and ESG initiatives? Recognize that internal audit is not immune to talent pressures.

Given the evolving geopolitical, macroeconomic, and risk landscape, reassess whether the internal audit plan is risk-based and flexible enough to adjust to changing business and risk conditions. Going forward, the audit committee should work with the chief audit executive and chief risk officer to help identify the risks that pose the greatest threat to the company's reputation, strategy, and operations, and to help ensure that internal audit is focused on these key risks and related controls.

- What's changed in the operating environment?
- What are the risks posed by the company's digital transformation and by the company's extended organization—sourcing, outsourcing, sales, and distribution channels?
- Is the company sensitive to early warning signs regarding safety, product quality, and compliance?
- What role should internal audit play in auditing corporate culture?

Set clear expectations and help ensure that internal audit has the resources, skills, and expertise to succeed—and help the chief audit executive think through the impact of digital technologies on internal audit.



Sharpen the company's focus on ethics, compliance, and culture.

The reputational costs of an ethics or compliance failure are higher than ever, particularly given increased fraud risk, pressures on management to meet financial targets, and increased vulnerability to cyberattacks. Fundamental to an effective compliance program is the right tone at the top and culture throughout the organization, including commitment to its stated values, ethics, and legal/regulatory compliance. This is particularly true in a complex business environment, as companies move quickly to innovate and capitalize on opportunities in new markets, leverage new technologies and data, engage with more vendors and third parties across complex supply chains.

Closely monitor the tone at the top and culture throughout the organization with a sharp focus on behaviors (not just results) and yellow flags.

- Is senior management sensitive to ongoing pressures on employees (both in the office and at home), employee health and safety, productivity, and employee engagement and morale?

As we have learned, leadership and communications are key, and understanding and compassion are more important than ever.

- Does the company's culture make it safe for people to do the right thing?
- It is helpful for directors to get out in the field and meet employees to get a better feel for the culture.

Help ensure that the company's regulatory compliance and monitoring programs are up to date, cover all vendors in the global supply chain, and communicate the company's expectations for high ethical standards.

Focus on the effectiveness of the company's whistleblower reporting channels (including whether complaints are being submitted) and investigation processes. Does the audit committee see all whistleblower complaints? If not, what is the process to filter complaints that are ultimately reported to the audit committee? With the radical transparency enabled by social media, the company's culture and values, commitment to integrity and legal compliance, and its brand reputation are on full display.



Stay apprised of global tax developments and risks, and understand that tax has become an important element of ESG.

Disruption and uncertainty continue to describe the global tax environment today for corporations—particularly multinationals. On August 16, 2022, President Biden signed into law the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA), which includes a new 15% corporate alternative minimum tax on the income of larger corporations, creates a new excise tax on public corporation stock buybacks, and provides substantial additional funding for IRS enforcement, operations, and modernization. The IRA's new 15% alternative minimum tax is not the same as the 15% global minimum tax on multinational companies that the OECD proposed and that Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen encouraged countries to approve. At present, there is uncertainty as to how or whether the OECD countries will proceed to implement the 15% global minimum tax, as well as other tax initiatives, in light of the U.S. legislation.

Tax has also emerged as an important element of ESG, with stakeholders increasingly expecting companies to provide greater transparency and to conduct their tax affairs in a sustainable manner. Many ESG stakeholders view the public disclosure of a company's approach to tax, the amount of taxes paid, and where those taxes are paid as important elements of sustainable tax practice. We have now seen several shareholder proposals calling for companies to report on their tax practices on a country-by-country basis under the Global Reporting Initiative. The FASB is expected to release draft rules for CbC reporting in the coming months and the SEC has [signaled its support](#).

In this environment, it is important for audit committees to engage with management in at least three areas:

- Understand the risks posed by the uncertainty and complexity of this evolving tax landscape, as it is likely to have a significant effect on the company in the coming years.
- Help articulate the company's tolerance for reputational risk associated with tax choices that are being made, and evaluate the extent to which the corporate governance framework and associated controls are in place to minimize this risk and/or improve sustainability scores.
- Help determine the right approach to tax transparency, as there is not yet a consensus as to what level of reporting constitutes "good tax transparency." Management teams will need to consider stakeholder expectations, relevant standards, regulators, and the tax transparency disclosures of their peers.



Take a close look at the audit committee's composition and skill sets.

As the role and responsibilities of the audit committee continue to expand and evolve beyond its responsibility for financial reporting and control risks, the committee should revisit whether it has the right composition and skill sets.

In making that assessment, we recommend three areas to probe as part of the committee's annual self-evaluation:

- Does the committee include members with the experience and skill sets necessary to oversee areas of risk (beyond its core responsibilities) that the audit committee has been assigned—such as cyber and data security, supply chain issues and geopolitical risk, ESG risks and disclosures, or climate?
- How many audit committee members spent their careers working on financial accounting, reporting, and control issues? Is the committee relying only

on one member to do the “heavy lifting” in the oversight of financial reporting and controls? A dialogue among two or more committee members with a deep understanding of the issues is essential.

- As the audit committee's workload expands to include oversight of disclosures for nonfinancial information—including climate, environmental and social issues—as well as related disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls, does the committee have the necessary financial reporting and internal control expertise to effectively carry out these responsibilities as well as its core oversight responsibilities? Does the committee need to hire experts in order to discharge its oversight duties?

With investors and regulators focusing on audit committee composition and skill sets—as well as audit committee agenda overload—this is an important issue for audit committees.

Contact us

John H. Rodi

Leader, KPMG Board
Leadership Center

Stephen Dabney

Leader, KPMG Audit
Committee Institute

Claudia Allen

Senior Advisor, KPMG
Board Leadership Center

Susan Angele

Senior Advisor, KPMG
Board Leadership Center

Annalisa Barrett

Senior Advisor, KPMG
Board Leadership Center

Stephen Brown

Senior Advisor, KPMG
Board Leadership Center

Patrick Lee

Senior Advisor, KPMG
Board Leadership Center

About the KPMG Board Leadership Center

The KPMG Board Leadership Center (BLC) champions outstanding corporate governance to drive long-term value and enhance stakeholder confidence. Through an array of insights, perspectives, and programs, the BLC—which includes the KPMG Audit Committee Institute and close collaboration with other leading director organizations—promotes continuous education and improvement of public and private company governance. BLC engages with directors and business leaders on the critical issues driving board agendas—from strategy, risk, talent, and ESG to data governance, audit quality, proxy trends, and more. Learn more at kpmg.com/us/blc.

About the KPMG Audit Committee Institute

As part of the KPMG Board Leadership Center, the ACI provides audit committee and board members with practical insights, resources, and peer-exchange opportunities focused on strengthening oversight of financial reporting and audit quality, and the array of challenges facing boards and businesses today—from risk management and emerging technologies to strategy, talent, and global compliance. Learn more about ACI at kpmg.com/us/aci.

Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates or related entities.

kpmg.com/socialmedia



The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act upon such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

© 2022 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization. NDP376186-2A