

EU Artificial Intelligence Act

Ten essential EU AI Act questions businesses need to know





The world has seen a paradigm shift in the speed in the development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) over the past eighteen months. While these tools and capabilities provide significant opportunity to completely revolutionise businesses and their products and services, there is also a fear of the unknown and potential consequences this technology brings.

A critical component on managing the potential risks associated with AI is appropriate regulation. The European Union (EU) is taking a proactive approach to governing AI technologies to ensure they align with fundamental rights, privacy, and safety standards.

On the evening of Friday 8 December, following what has been described as 'marathon' talks, the Council presidency and the European Parliament's negotiators reached a provisional agreement on the proposal on harmonised rules on Al. In January 2024, an unofficial updated EU Al Act draft text was issued which outlines further the proposed legislation. Until now, there has been strong disagreement between the EU's legislative bodies on what the Artificial Intelligence Act ("EU Al Act") should look like. If formally passed into law, the highly anticipated EU Al Act will set a global standard in the regulation of AI, a model on the basis of which other jurisdictions may seek to mould their own national rules. While the legislation still needs to be formally passed by each legislative body, businesses that operate in Europe now have a much clearer picture of what their compliance obligations will be once the EU AI Act enters into force. It is expected that the EU Al Act will be formally passed into law in early 2024. This paper answers the 10 essential EU Act questions business need to know.

1 What is the EU AI Act?

The EU AI Act is a proposed regulation by the EU to establish uniform rules for using AI. It aims to ensure that AI systems deployed in the EU are safe, respect fundamental rights and EU values, and encourage the development of a single market for AI applications. The Act follows a risk-based approach requiring AI systems to be classified and assessed based on risk level and imposing corresponding requirements.

What defines Al?

An Al system is a machine-based system designed to operate with varying levels of autonomy and that may exhibit adaptiveness after deployment and that, for explicit or implicit objectives, infers, from the input it receives, how to generate outputs such as content, predictions, recommendations, or decisions that can influence physical or virtual environments.



Who should take note of the EU AI Act?

- Al system providers: Organisations and individuals who develop or create Al systems, including software developers and technology firms.
- Al system deployers: Organisations who deploy and use Al systems in their operations, irrespective of the sector or industry.
- Public authorities: Government bodies and agencies that deploy AI systems for public services, law enforcement or administrative purposes.
- Regulators and supervisory bodies: Authorities responsible for monitoring and ensuring compliance with the Al Act, including data protection agencies.
- Consumers and the general public: Indirectly affected, as the Act aims to safeguard their rights and safety in relation to Al use.
- Global impact: This new law will apply to non-EU organisations offering AI services in the EU market or to EU citizens, reinforcing global standards.

What significant impact will the EU AI Act have on organisations?

Organisations will need to reinforce certain activities or create new functions to comply with this upcoming regulation. Below are the most impactful expected changes:

- Compliance with risk-based regulations: Adhering to the varying levels of regulations based on the risk classification of Al systems.
- Ensuring data governance: Managing data sources and AI systems consistently across the organisation.
- Respecting privacy and fundamental rights of individuals: Ensuring AI systems have clearly defined purposes and adequate safeguards in place to protect the rights and freedoms of individuals. High-risk AI systems require a fundamental rights impact assessment before deployment.
- Maintaining transparency: Ensuring AI systems are designed in a way that makes them understandable and their decisions should be explainable.
- Balancing innovation with ethical considerations: Innovating while respecting ethical guidelines, avoiding bias and ensuring fairness.
- Maintaining a risk management system: Assessing and managing risks associated with Al deployments, including potential biases and ethical concerns.
- Keeping up with rapid technological changes: Staying updated on the latest Al developments and regulatory changes.

What constitutes a high-risk Al system?

- High-risk AI systems are those that pose significant risks to health, safety or fundamental rights and freedoms.
- They include Al systems used in critical infrastructures, education, employment, essential private and public services, law enforcement, migration and administration of justice.
- High-risk Al systems require strict compliance with mandatory requirements before being placed on the market or put into service.

What are the requirements for Al systems classified as high-risk?

- Adequate risk assessment and mitigation: Providers must conduct thorough risk assessments including fundamental rights impact assessments and implement adequate risk mitigation measures.
- High data quality standards: Ensuring the accuracy, reliability and relevance of data used by the Al systems.
- Detailed documentation and record-keeping:
 Maintaining extensive documentation about the Al system's development, capabilities and compliance.
- Transparency and provision of information: Providing clear information about the AI system's capabilities, limitations and intended use.



What are the requirements for Al systems classified as having limited or minimal risk?

Any AI systems that are not prohibited including those not classified as high-risk have requirements too.

- Basic compliance with safety and rights: Ensuring the Al system does not pose a risk to safety or fundamental rights.
- Transparency obligations: Users should be informed when they are interacting with an Al system, particularly in cases of content generation or manipulation.
- Data governance: Ensuring proper handling and protection of data used by the AI system.

Which Al systems fall under the classification of having unacceptable risk?

- Practices contradicting union values: Al systems that deploy subliminal techniques or exploit vulnerabilities of specific groups to materially distort a person's behaviour in a manner causing physical or psychological harm.
- Social scoring by public authorities: Systems that enable social scoring by public authorities, leading to discrimination or unfavourable treatment.
- **Real-time biometric identification:** Use of real-time remote biometric identification systems in publicly accessible spaces for law enforcement purposes, with certain exceptions.

How should companies organisations prepare in the short-term?

As the agreed text awaits formal adoption by both Parliament and Council to become EU law, organisations can proactively begin preparing for compliance with the EU AI Act. Here's a list of the most significant changes that can be initiated in advance:

- Inventory and classify current Al landscape: Review
 existing Al systems and use cases and categorize them
 to identify high-risk systems that require compliance to the
 EU Al Act. Leveraging an automated detection / identification
 solution, automating intake questionnaires or implementing
 a workflow platform, for instance, can aid in accelerating the
 discovery, inventory and classification activities required to
 support and map compliance obligations.
- Implement (or improve) Al governance framework: Implement standards and best practices for Al system development, deployment and maintenance in alignment with the EU Al Act's requirements, other emerging regulatory standards and ensure scalability. Here again, leveraging an automated solution to manage various aspects of compliance mapping, obligations tracking, and workflow management can aid in supporting and scaling various governance activities.



- Conduct gap analysis: Conduct a thorough gap analysis to identify areas of non-compliance and develop an immediate action plan to address these gaps. This analysis could be expedited using an automated or rapid Al assessment approach against established governance framework or EU Al Act compliance obligations.
- Automate system management and evaluation:
 Optimize, automate and streamline Al system management processes, ensuring models are transparent, explainable and trustworthy. Leverage automation to extract and map technical metrics and data from Al system and application metadata to your governance framework, enabling automated compliance and management processes.
- Prioritize and manage Al risks adequately: Understand the risks that Al systems pose internally to your organisation and externally to your stakeholders and ecosystem. Review and, if necessary, update data handling practices to ensure they comply with applicable laws, regulations, and industry good practice, in particular GDPR and other data privacy aspects of the EU Al Act. Leveraging automated threat detection, analysis and intelligence solutions can drastically reduce level of effort required to support testing and technical documentation requirements outlined in the EU Al Act.
- Documentation and record-keeping: Establish
 documentation repository and management system to
 ensure appropriate documentation processes are in place
 to ensure AI systems are well-documented and in
 compliance with the EU AI Act.
- Train employees on Al ethics and compliance: Educate your workforce on the legal and ethical implications of Al applications, ensuring they are prepared to handle new responsibilities and compliance tasks.
- Stakeholder communication: Communicate with all stakeholders, including customers and partners, about how your company is addressing the EU AI Act requirements as well as outline expectations and requirements for each stakeholder group in managing ongoing compliance.

What are mid- to long-term strategies business need to adopt?

- Strategic alignment with regulatory changes: In the medium term, align business strategies with the evolving regulatory landscape of AI, anticipating future amendments to the EU AI Act.
- Long-term investment in AI ethics and governance:
 Establish a dedicated team or department for AI ethics and governance to continuously monitor and guide AI practices in line with regulatory requirements.
- Ongoing Al literacy and training programs: Develop long-term training programs to enhance Al literacy across the organisation, fostering a culture of ethical Al use and compliance.
- Sustainable data management practices: Implement and maintain robust data governance frameworks that ensure long-term data quality, security and privacy — adapting to future technological and regulatory changes.
- Regular Al system audits and updates: Conduct periodic reviews and updates of Al systems to ensure ongoing compliance and to integrate advancements in Al transparency and explainability.
- Active engagement in policy discussions: In the long term, participate in industry discussions and policy-making processes related to AI regulation to influence and stay ahead of future regulatory trends.
- Innovation within ethical boundaries: Foster an environment of innovation that respects ethical boundaries and regulatory requirements, balancing technological advancement with social responsibility.
- Building consumer trust through transparency: Prioritize transparency in AI operations to build and maintain public trust, ensuring long-term viability and acceptance of AI solutions.
- Trusted AI and Security by design: Adapt the building of AI systems to include Trusted AI and AI Security in the design phase.

These mid- and long-term considerations are critical for ensuring that organisations not only comply with current regulations but are also well-prepared for future developments in the AI regulatory landscape.



Which senior roles are most affected?

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO): Responsible for overall compliance and steering the company's strategic response to the EU AI Act.
- Chief Technology Officer (CTO) or Chief Information Officer (CIO): Oversee the development and deployment of AI technologies, ensuring they align with regulatory requirements.
- Chief Data Officer (CDO): Manage data governance, quality and ethical use of data in Al systems.
- Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) or Legal Counsel: Ensure that Al applications and business practices adhere to the EU Al Act and other relevant laws.
- Chief Financial Officer (CFO): Oversee financial implications, investment in compliance infrastructure and potential risks associated with non-compliance.
- Human Resources Manager: Address the impact of Al systems on employee management and training, ensuring Al literacy among staff.
- Chief Information Security Officer (CISO): Handle cybersecurity and data protection aspects of AI systems to ensure data integrity and prevent any unauthorized use.
- Chief Privacy Officer (CPO) or Data Protection Officer (DPO): Ensure that AI systems adhere to the privacy principles, are explainable and transparent, and have safeguards in place to preserve the fundamental rights and freedoms of individuals.

These roles play a crucial part in adjusting business operations, refining technology strategies and aligning organisational policies to comply with the EU AI Act. While some organisations have already appointed a Chief AI Officer, we foresee the emergence of a new senior role: the Chief AI Risk Officer.

What next?

As cited above, the EU AI Act will likely be passed into law early in 2024, however businesses will have some time to prepare before it begins to apply.

Nevertheless, with respect to transactions happening today involving businesses that use AI, scrutiny should be cast over any target companies which could use unacceptable or high-risk AI, including ensuring a consideration of how that AI can be made compliant with the EU AI Act (and what impact that may have on the business and its operational performance). Preparing an AI road map and running an AI compliance review (as outlined in pt. 8 above) today will also hold businesses in good stead for when the EU AI Act comes into force.

Footnote: Please note that the EU AI Act's final text is not yet available and could be subject to change. This analysis is based on the 2021 draft proposal, updated in subsequent years and supplemented by the agreement reached on December 8th, 2023.

Get in touch

If you have any queries about meeting required AI regulation, please contact our Consulting team below. We'd be delighted to hear from you.



Gillian Kelly Partner - Head of Consulting **KPMG** in Ireland

t: +353 1 410 1120 e: gillian.kelly@kpmg.ie



Sean Redmond Director - Risk Consulting **KPMG** in Ireland

t: +353 87 050 4838 e: sean.redmond@kpmg.ie



Dani Michaux Partner - Cyber Security KPMG in Ireland

t: +353 1 700 4769 e: dani.michaux@kpmg.ie



Jackie Hennessy Partner - Technology KPMG in Ireland

t: +353 1 700 4171 e: jackie.hennessy@kpmg.ie



Emma Coogan Associate Director - Risk & Regulatory **KPMG** in Ireland

t: +353 87 216 1626 e: emma.coogan@kpmg.ie









kpmg.ie

© 2024 KPMG, an Irish partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks of KPMG International Limited ("KPMG International"), a private English company limited by guarantee

If you've received this communication directly from KPMG, it is because we hold your name and company details for the purpose of keeping you informed on a range of business issues and the services we provide. If you would like us to delete this information from our records and would prefer not to receive any further updates from us please contact unsubscribe@kpmg.ie.