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Moonlighting - types and global opinions

The new ways of working have led to organisations rethinking the modern workplace policies. **Moonlighting** is one such area that is gaining popularity these days.

“Moonlighting” may be defined as the practice of working for another organisation while committing oneself to one company as primary workplace typically without the employer’s knowledge.

**Instances of moonlighting can be categorised under two buckets**

- **Non-conflicted moonlighting**
  Zero or minimal overlap of time/professional commitments between the sources of employment an individual has. The employee is abiding by the ethical construct of corporate culture.

- **Conflicted moonlighting**
  Violating time, professional, ethical or code of conduct commitments towards both the concurrent sources of employment along with direct or apparent conflict of interest.

Instances of conflicted moonlighting are on the rise across industries and is a common concern amongst employers.

Another factor contributing to moonlighting phenomenon is the booming start-up eco-system in the country with colleagues working together to form start-ups and using time or resources meant for their incumbent employers.

As per United States Census Bureau, a steady fragment of workforce in the United States has always had a second job. The reasons for the same include need for an extra income, gaining different experiences and exploring varied interests.

An article published by Germany’s international broadcaster reveals that more than 3 million people in Germany worked at more than one job in 2020, with financial constraints being the prime motive. Secondary employment is permitted by law in Germany as long as the second job is outside of working hours of the main job.

How is moonlighting of today different from pre-Covid times?

- As jobs in pre-Covid era involved working on-site at employer premises, workers would have a clear shift in mindspace from the main job to their “side hustles” as they moved from one workplace to another.
- Being “overemployed” can especially be a significant issue for knowledge-based jobs where worker productivity is directly linked to the attention given and the state of mind of the worker.
- Trying to flip between two jobs in a knowledge-based working environment can cause a significant loss of productivity.
- Worker might intentionally tend to perform average in both the jobs to avoid any limelight and keep lower expectations and lesser workload at the workplace.
Moonlighting - factors to consider

It is imperative to consider new families of roles and employee mindset when planning actions to identify and mitigate the risks from moonlighting phenomenon.

### Virtual working
Employees are required to work remotely, and leverage cloud-based online collaboration tools for carrying out their jobs.

### Flexi hours
Employees with pressing personal commitments outside of their primary employment may opt to dial down work.

### Hybrid working
Employees are required to partially be present in office and partially work remotely based on their job requirements.

### Neo Gig
Exclusive contracts with professionals such as specialists and freelancers to deliver projects over a period of 2 to 3 years.

### Work on site
Employees are required to work on-site only. These are predominantly adopted in manufacturing, and essential services sectors.

### Gig
Secondary employment options such as vendors/contractors/freelancers who work with organisations on short term projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family of roles</th>
<th>Learner</th>
<th>Performer</th>
<th>Community contributor</th>
<th>Passionate/hobbyist</th>
<th>Entrepreneurial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virtual</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onsite/In office</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexi hours</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neo-Gig*</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gig</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>![High]</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table above shows the probability of employees with different mindsets to engage in moonlighting.

*probability of moonlighting for neo-gig shall also depend on the work contractual terms.

Employee mindsets can be broadly categorised as follows:

- **Learner**: Wants to learn new things, explore new areas and is intrinsically motivated.
- **Performer**: Strives for excellence in their performance and seeks validation for the same.
- **Community contributor**: Wants to contribute to society at large apart from their own profession.
- **Passionate/hobbyist**: Inclined towards following their hobby/passion beyond her regular job.
- **Entrepreneurial**: Wants to explore opportunities of their own, extremely motivated and independent.

The following table represents the probability of an employee moonlighting Vs family of roles.
Following are a few potential risks for companies to consider ring-fencing and managing moonlighting:

- **Non compete/ conflict of interest** - Managing conflict in the sources of employment by having a robust policy which prohibits the same.
- **Data privacy** - Ensuring that the organisation has safeguards in place to prevent transfer of confidential information. This could also expose the firm to data leakage including leakage of unpublished price sensitive information.
- **Non compliance** - There are certain regulatory compliances that might need to be followed by law for certain roles.
- **Employee productivity** - Employees might lose productivity if their mind space are occupied by multiple tasks at once.
- **Conflicting priorities** - Multiple responsibilities might lead to employees being distracted while performing the tasks they are supposed to under their primary employment.
- **Legalities around company licensed software** - Most organisations are leveraging licensed tools, which could be leveraged for performing activities of another job.
- **Seepage of ROI** - Reduced ROI for firms if employees are leveraging their proficiencies on multiple avenues.
- **Deterioration in performance of critical roles** - Performance of employees with critical influence on firm’s big picture decisions might get affected.

**Next steps for organisation:**

- With abundant availability of talent in the market, employees seeking heterogeneous and challenging assignments and evolving gig economy, people have access to more opportunities than ever to indulge in moonlighting.
- Organisations must ensure that the moonlighting policy is extremely transparent and strict.
- There should be clear communication around the same to all employees.
- Self-declarations for organisations to decipher any conflict of interest or breach of contractual obligation.
- People should know exactly where they stand in terms of acceptable and unacceptable moonlighting gigs.

**How should organisations proceed?**

- **Moonlighting verification and as-is impact assessment** - Conduct an as-is assessment for all roles in the organisation. Define clear parameters basis which the impact of employees holding those roles moonlighting can be assessed.
- **Role based – moonlighting risk assessment** - Assess the impact of moonlighting basis the defined parameters on various roles existing in the firm.
- **Moonlighting mitigation strategy design** - Basis the anticipated impact for each of the roles, devise a moonlight mitigation strategy in alignment with all relevant stakeholders.
- **Re-design of operating model, HR policies and process manuals** - Align the operating model and processes basis the moonlight mitigation strategy.
- **Leadership assurance dashboard / policy governance controls checking** - Analyse and devise process checkpoints to monitor moonlighting.
- **Employee engagement survey** - Run employee survey to capture engagement levels. A highly engaged workforce mitigates the risk for moonlighting.

*KPMG in India can extend support to organisations in all these areas and help them in their journey of managing moonlighting.*
Case study: how to identify moonlighting

Moonlighting screening can be done through simple but powerful digital platforms. Apart from identifying moonlighting instances, this screening can also provide valuable information on remuneration that can help in offer benchmarking and expedite employee onboarding without compromising on a seamless employee experience.

A typical moonlighting screening can help identify four types of discrepancies:

- Past employer is suspicious
- Dual/multiple employment in current employment
- Candidate has dual/multiple employment during career
- Undisclosed employments

Client case

A large Information Technology company had received a whistleblower complaint about employees engaging in moonlighting. KPMG in India was engaged to conduct moonlighting verification to identify dual or multiple employments and any other potential risk on the employment history of the employee.

Industry: Information Technology
Employee headcount: 25,000

Challenge
Client works with multiple customers in BFSI, pharma and other regulated sectors and recently has been facing increased attrition rate. Hence, they have decided to allow employees to WFH for selected projects. However, the Human Resource (HR) team received a whistle-blowing complaint that few employees were engaged in moonlighting.

Approach
KPMG in India conducted moonlighting verification on a sample of 2000 employees to identify dual or multiple employments and any other potential risk on the employment history of the employee. With our domain knowledge, forensic expertise and access to governmental databases we were able to verify employment history of the candidate through credible sources.

Impact achieved

- 1% Suspicious employers identified - candidates with no relevant experience
- 4% Dual employments identified in current or previous employments
- 13% Undisclosed employments identified; possible involuntary separation
- 82% No risk identified
Acknowledgements

Authors

- Roli Pawar (Director)
- Mandar Ranade (Director)
- Abhinav Rajmalwar (Senior Consultant)
- Aditi Srivastava (Senior Consultant)
- Adarsh Ranjan (Senior Consultant)
- Junak Kashyap (Senior Consultant)

Compliance and Design

- Sameer Hattangadi (Senior Associate Director)
- Anupriya Rajput (Assistant Manager)
The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP, Lodha Excelus, Apollo Mills Compound, NM Joshi Marg, Mahalaxmi, Mumbai - 400 011 Phone: +91 22 3989 6000, Fax: +91 22 3983 6000.

© 2022 KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP, an Indian Limited Liability Partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

This document is for e-communication only.

KPMG in India contacts:

Akhilesh Tuteja
Partner and Head, Media and Telecom Sector & Alliances
E: atuteja@kpmg.com

Maneesh Garg
Partner & Head –Forensic Services & Co-Head - Managed Services
E: maneeshg@kpmg.com

Sanjay Narvekar
Associate Partner, Forensic, Managed Services
E: sanjay@kpmg.com

Dr. Vishalli Dongrie
Partner and Head, People & Change
E: vishallidongrie@kpmg.com

Ankit Mishra
Partner, Forensic, Managed Services
E: ankitmishra@kpmg.com

Roli Pawar
Director, Forensic, Managed Services
E: rpawar@kpmg.com

Shalini Pillay
India Leader Global Capability Centres & Office Managing Partner Bengaluru
E: shalinipillay@kpmg.com

Bhavya Acharya
Associate Partner, People & Change
E: bhavyaacharya1@kpmg.com

Mandar Ranade
Director, People & Change
E: mandarr@kpmg.com

Dr. Vishalli Dongrie
Partner and Head, People & Change
E: vishallidongrie@kpmg.com

Bhavya Acharya
Associate Partner, People & Change
E: bhavyaacharya1@kpmg.com

Mandar Ranade
Director, People & Change
E: mandarr@kpmg.com

home.kpmg/in/socialmedia

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.