



Tax News Flash

- Transfer Pricing & Customs

23 April 2024

Samjong KPMG Transfer Pricing & Customs Service Group provides readers Transfer Pricing & Customs related recent local tax issues and trends.

This newsletter is a monthly publication of Samjong KPMG Transfer Pricing & Customs Service Group. If you need more detailed explanation, please feel free to contact key contacts or Tai-Joon Kim for transfer pricing matters and Tae-Joo Kim for customs matters.



The following is a recent Korea's tax ruling in relation to transfer pricing

Considering that even if the disputed interest is viewed as financial expenses, the interest rate appears to be higher than the interest rate in usual situation, and the claimant asserts that the disputed interest falls under the category of deductible expenses under the Corporate Tax Law, while no assertion is made whether the interest rate is at arm's length. Therefore, it is appropriate for the tax authority to reexamine the arm's length interest rate of the disputed loan transaction for each fiscal year in question and adjust the taxable base and tax amount accordingly.

< Tax Tribunal Judgment 2018Jeon3997, 2023.01.09 >

Background

- The claimant, established as a joint venture between a multinational corporate group ("OOO Group") headquartered in the United States ("OOO") and a Korean AAA Group on April 20, 1995. According to an agreement ("dispute agreement") between the OOO Group and the Korean AAA Group on October 22, 2013, the claimant conducted a series of transactions ("disputed transactions") and OOO became the claimant's 100% parent company and a creditor simultaneously. Subsequently, the claimant recognized the interest expenses paid to OOO by the claimant in the fiscal years 2014 and 2015 ("disputed interest") as tax deductible.
- The tax authority, based on the assessment result notification from the commissioner of BBB Regional Tax Office ("Tax Office") through a corporate tax assessment on the claimant, denied the deduction of disputed interest for the fiscal years 2014 and 2015. Moreover, regarding the disputed interest, the tax authority notified a beneficiary change and refused the adjustment claim made by the claimant requesting tax deductible on the Disputed Interest for the fiscal years 2016 to 2018. Accordingly, the claimant has filed an

appeal.

Tax Office's (Defendant) Claims

- Although the purpose and substance of the disputed transactions is to exchange stocks between the shareholders, the claimant evaded tax by paying the disputed interest incurred from indirect and unconventional transactions, which make it non-deductible expense.
- Furthermore, the disputed transactions do not satisfy any of the requirements for deductible expenses, which are business related, customary nature, and income related.

Taxpayer's (Claimant) Claims

- The disputed interest is essentially the same as interest payments incurred from regular borrowings and is therefore tax deductible in itself. It does not fall under the category of non-deductible expenses such as unrelated business expenditures. In order to deny and restructure the transaction structure, the tax office must vindicate the intention of the tax evasion. However, it is purely based on arbitrary assumption.

Decision by Tax Tribunal

- Taxpayers have the right to choose among various legal approaches to achieve the same economic objectives. Unless there are special circumstances such as the chosen transaction being solely for tax avoidance purposes, and it being an unreasonable transaction that a normal and rational economic actor would not choose, the legal approaches chosen by the parties should be respected. Moreover, considering that in the past, methods similar to the disputed transactions, such as share buybacks, have been used to terminate joint venture relationships, it would be difficult to conclusively determine that the disputed transactions alone were abnormal transactions that a rational economic actor would not choose. Therefore, it is judged that the disputed transactions cannot be restructured as share exchange transactions based on the principle of substance over form in tax law.

However, it is important to consider the facts that the interest rate of 8% applied to the disputed interest in this case appears higher than the usual interest rate, and that the tax office initially denied only a portion of it as non-deductible expenses for the fiscal year 2014 but later revoked the decision and denied the entire disputed interest, and that the claimant does not claim it as an arm's length interest rate. Therefore, it is considered appropriate for the tax office to re-examine the arm's length interest rate of the disputed interest for each fiscal year and determine the taxable base and tax amount accordingly.



The following is a recent Customs-related Court case in Korea

The Korea Customs Service (KCS) plans to enhance its response to non-cooperation in submitting data during customs audits to improve data collection effectiveness and ensure accurate customs audits. To achieve this goal, it will establish and implement a new administrative regulation named the "Directive on Securing Taxation Data during Customs Audit.

This suggests that multinational enterprises (MNEs) should consider proactively preparing necessary data through pre-risk assessment or utilizing pre-cooperation programs such as the Advanced Customs Valuation Arrangement (ACVA) prior to undergoing customs scrutiny.

[Main Contents of the Administrative Regulations]

The administrative regulations stipulate various sanctions against Non-Cooperating Submitters who intentionally delays, refuses, or make selective submission of favourable data in response to data submission requests as follows:

1) Suspension or Extension of Customs Audit

The KCS may suspend or extend customs investigations within a period of up to 20 days, which will not count towards the investigation period or extension period.

2) Fines

The KCS may impose fines of up to KRW 100 million if taxpayers fail to submit data related to transfer pricing (TP) or submit false data. If corrected data is not submitted within the specified period, fines of up to KRW 200 million may be imposed.

Additionally, fines of up to KRW 50 million may be imposed for non-cooperation such as providing false statements or failing to comply with orders to submit taxation data.

3) Non-issuance of amended VAT invoice.

If data related to TP is not submitted by the deadline or false data is submitted, the KCS may not issue amended VAT invoice for additionally imposed VAT resulting from the customs audit.

4) Denial of Transaction Price

If data related to customs value is not submitted within the deadline or if the submitted data does not comply with generally accepted accounting principles, the customs value (TP) may be denied, and alternative valuation may be pursued.

5) Reporting, Referral, Notification Disposition

If non-cooperating submitters of taxation data violate Article 12 of the Customs Act and Article 3(1) of the Enforcement Decree of the Customs Act by committing false declaration offenses, reporting, referral, or notification disposal measures may be taken.

6) Other Sanctions:

In addition to the above, the KCS may select non-cooperating submitters for irregular customs investigations, exclude them from monthly payments system, or increase inspection rates, among other measures.



Key Contacts

Samjong KPMG Transfer Pricing & Customs Service Group



Gil-Won Kang
Head of TAX 6
T. +82-2-2112-0907



Seung-Mok Baek
TP Partner
T. +82-2-2112-0982



Sang-Hoon Kim
TP Partner
T. +82-2-2112-7939



Tai-Joon Kim
TP Partner
T. +82-2-2112-0696



Yong-Jun Yoon
TP Partner
T. +82-2-2112-0277



Tae-Joo Kim
Customs Partner
T. +82-2-2112-7448



Young-Bin Oh
Customs Partner
T. +82-2-2112-0435

home.kpmg/socialmedia



home.kpmg/kr/ko/home/services/tax.html

[Privacy](#) | [Legal](#)

27th Floor, Gangnam Finance Center, 152, Teheran-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, Korea

© 2023 KPMG Samjong Accounting Corp., a Korea Limited Liability Company and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.