



It has been more than a year since Artificial Intelligence (AI) burst onto the scene, and its capabilities continue to evolve at the speed of light, making headlines daily. The increasing Al landscape presents organizations with unprecedented opportunities for transformative growth, provided these advancements are adequately understood, managed, and governed. Failure to establish proper Al governance can lead to disasters, as seen in the Dutch childcare benefit scandal. The tax authorities used discriminatory algorithms, falsely accusing thousands of parents of fraud. The scandal underscored the need for explainable AI rules and human oversight. Without transparency and accountability in Al systems, organizations risk significant harm and public backlash. Implementing robust governance frameworks is crucial to ensure AI systems are fair, transparent, and accountable¹.



While AI offers immense potential as a catalyst for innovation and efficiency, it also introduces inherent risks and complexities. From staff unpreparedness to data privacy concerns to operational disruptions, organizations face a wide variety of challenges associated with AI adoption.

Next to these internal challenges, new AI regulations are arising such as the European Union AI Act, which emerges as a pivotal regulatory development in the field of AI. This landmark legislation aims to establish a comprehensive framework for the ethical and responsible use of AI technologies. The EU AI Act outlines strict guidelines for high-risk AI applications, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and user protection. The legislation sets a precedent for global AI governance by encouraging innovation while prioritizing human-centric values. Most of the obligations outlined in the AI Act are expected to become effective by the first half of 2026.

As organizations navigate this rapidly evolving Al landscape, they must contend with not only the start of regulations, but also the need for their own governance and internal standards. This duality underscores the critical importance of establishing robust Al governance frameworks to ensure ethical, transparent, and accountable Al deployment within the organization. Through having the right governance mechanisms in place, organizations can utilize Al to their fullest advantage and create positive outcomes and competitive advantages, while mitigating risks effectively. During this AI transformation journey, the importance of the Risk Management and Internal Audit functions comes into play to ensure the establishment of, and assurance over, sound and effective AI governance frameworks.

In this article, we deep dive into the role and responsibilities of Risk Management and Internal Audit professionals on Al governance. From Risk Management's involvement in the oversight of Al initiatives to Internal Audit's assurance over Al tooling, solutions, and processes, we explore how organizations can navigate the complexities of Al governance to build trust, mitigate risks, and seize opportunities.

¹ For more details, visit the University of Amsterdam article.



The importance of establishing effective Al Governance Frameworks

Al governance can be defined as the set of monitoring mechanisms, policies, procedures, and controls implemented to oversee and regulate the development, deployment, and usage of Al technologies. Its significance lies in its ability to provide a structured framework for organizations to navigate the complexities of Al adoption while mitigating risks and ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements.

The EU AI Act underscores the strategic obligation for organizations to establish proper governance frameworks around AI. Organizations must proactively adapt to these regulatory developments by implementing robust AI governance frameworks to manage the associatedrisks effectively.

Failure to establish robust and effective AI governance frameworks can lead to several significant threats for the organization. Some key risks from the lack of adequate AI Governance include:

- A vision and strategy not adaptable to technological advancements may result in inadequate AI implementation or lack of innovation reducing competitiveness and leading to client loss.
- Absence or ambiguity in Al-related policies and procedures can lead to inconsistent practices, ethical concerns, and non-compliance with regulations. In addition, the lack of clear guidance can lead to organizational sensitive data being provided to inappropriate external Al solutions.
- Low Al literacy levels can lead to misunderstandings, misapplications, and decisionmaking biases, compromising the ethical use of Al technologies.
- Lack of transparency on (third-party) Al tooling and solutions inventory may expose the organization to unforeseen risks, including security vulnerabilities and ethical concerns.
- Failing to transparently communicate Al-related risks may erode stakeholder trust and lead to increased scrutiny from regulators and the public.
- Practices not aligned with regulatory requirements may expose the organization to legal and reputational risks, leading to potential fines or sanctions.

By establishing clear governance frameworks for Al deployment, development and usage, organizations can maintain principles of transparency, fairness, and trustworthiness in their Al initiatives and mitigate the above-listed risks. This is particularly important given the potential societal impact of Al technologies and the need to continuously build and reinforce stakeholder trust. Effective Al governance frameworks should cover key domains which we will explore later in this article.



A few of these key domains are:

- Vision & Strategy: Creating a future-proof strategy for AI utilization and growth that aligns with business needs and regulatory landscape. It guides decisionmaking, secures stakeholder buy-in, and ensures AI initiatives create organizational value.
- Governance & oversight: Setting up a framework for decision-making and oversight, including defining the roles and responsibilities of different stakeholders involved in Al initiatives. This ensures clear accountability and transparency in the Al development and deployment process.
- Policies & procedures: Establishing policies and guidelines that dictate how AI should be developed, used, and maintained within the organization. These policies ensure accountability, compliance with laws and ethical standards, and alignment with organizational values and objectives.

- Al Landscape & Inventory: Cataloguing Al technologies to guide decisions on resource allocation and strategic planning, and understanding current and potential Al infrastructure within the organization.
- Al Competences & Training: Developing and managing Al skills within the organization, fostering a culture that values adaptation and learning, and ensuring staff maintain competences to responsibly utilize Al tools, upholding ethical and legal standards.


In summary, effective AI governance is critical for addressing risks associated with the deployment and development of AI technologies and ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements. In this context, the roles and responsibilities of Risk Management and Internal Audit professionals are critical and can be easily forgotten or neglected.

The responsibilities of Risk Management

In the context of Al governance, Risk Management has a crucial role in overseeing that Al initiatives are integrated within the overall business strategy. Positioned to support the protection of the organization's values, Risk Management is instrumental in ensuring that Al deployment and potential in-house developments are consistent with ethical and legal principles, while promoting accountability and transparency to all stakeholders. In this context, some of Risk Management's main responsibilities are:

- 74. 20399 93. 20395 19. 20958 20
 57. 40496 25. 30395 92
 94. 20395 3. 293947 7.
 306 18. 30395 57. 40496 1.
 4 9- 20395 19. 20958 20
 96 37. 394.5 20. 9486 94. 49586 52
 96 49. 39456 19. 20395 3. 293947 7.
 30306 18. 30395 57. 40496 1.
 30306 18. 30395 57. 40496 1.
- Risk identification and assessment: Risk
 Management proactively identifies and assesses
 risks associated with inadequate AI deployment,
 development, and usage. Through comprehensive
 risk assessments, Risk Management identifies
 potential threats, such as inadequate oversight roles,
 insufficient knowledge and employee training, risky
 third-party applications, and security or privacy
 breaches, enabling the implementation of targeted
 risk mitigation strategies.
- Adherence to ethical and legal principles: Risk
 Management ensures, in collaboration with the
 Compliance Function, that Al initiatives adhere to
 ethical standards and comply with relevant laws and
 regulations. By integrating ethical considerations
 into risk assessments and compliance frameworks,
 Risk Management facilitates the alignment of Al
 activities with organizational values and societal
 expectations. This proactive approach mitigates the
 risk of legal penalties and reputational damage.

- Accountability and transparency: Risk
 Management advocates for accountability and
 transparency throughout the Al lifecycle. By
 supporting the relevant Management body, such as
 the Al Committee, in establishing clear governance
 structures and frameworks, by fostering cross functional partnerships, Risk Management facilitates
 the exchange of insights and expertise, enabling a
 holistic understanding of Al-related risks and
 opportunities.
- Organization-wide understanding of AI: Risk
 Management helps to improve the organization-wide
 understanding of AI including its potential benefits,
 risks, limitations, and constraints which are essential
 for effective AI governance. Risk Management
 supports determining how AI aligns with
 organizational values and implements Risk
 Management strategies across the AI lifecycle.



Continuous monitoring and reporting: Risk
 Management establishes mechanisms for
 continuous monitoring and reporting of Al-related
 risks and controls, facilitating timely detection and
 response to emerging threats. Through ongoing risk
 monitoring and reporting, Risk Management
 provides senior management and oversight
 committees with insight into the effectiveness of
 Al governance frameworks and the performance of
 risk mitigation measures enabling informed decision making and continuous improvement in Al
 governance practices.

The responsibilities of Internal Audit

Building on the foundation laid down by Risk Management, Internal Audit serves as the next layer in ensuring robust governance and Risk Management practices throughout the organization's Al journey. Internal Audit provides independent and objective assurance over the effectiveness of the organization's Al governance processes and controls. The below responsibilities serve to get the basics right, while later in the Al transformation journey, Internal Audit might move on to auditing the Al integration within the organization and, once the appropriate level of maturity is achieved, auditing the organization's own Al Project development.

- Assurance over Al governance frameworks:
 Internal Audit begins by ensuring that the organization has established the foundational elements necessary for effective Al governance. This involves verifying that adequate governance mechanisms and processes, policies, and procedures overseeing Al initiatives are effectively implemented. Internal Audit evaluates the design and effectiveness of Al governance frameworks, emphasizing the importance of clear roles, responsibilities, and decision-making structures.
- Advisor role during the Al journey: Internal Audit can play an advisory role throughout the organization's Al journey, offering insights and guidance to stakeholders at various stages of

Al adoption. This responsibility involves providing expertise and recommendations on best practices, risk management strategies, and compliance considerations related to Al initiatives.

- Methodologies and approaches: Internal Audit leverages various methodologies and approaches to evaluate Al-related risks and controls comprehensively. This may include conducting risk assessments to identify Al-related vulnerabilities, conducting process walkthroughs and control testing to assess the effectiveness of Al governance controls, and leveraging data analytics and machine learning techniques to detect anomalies and patterns indicative of Al-related risks. Internal Audit tailors its audit approach to the unique characteristics and complexities of the organization-wide or specific department Al initiatives.
- Continuous auditing: Internal Audit emphasizes the importance of continuous auditing of Al governance processes to ensure ongoing compliance and effectiveness. This involves establishing automated monitoring mechanisms to detect deviations and anomalies in Al processes and outcomes. By conducting regular audits and assessments, Internal Audit provides assurance that Al initiatives continue to operate in accordance with ethical principles, legal requirements, and organizational objectives.

How does KPMG's Al Governance Framework support Risk Management and Internal Audit?

We have developed a framework that is divided into nine key domains for effective Al governance within organizations. This framework addresses the relevant domains, covering key governance, risk management, and compliance issues to contribute to an ethical and responsible use of Al technologies. For each domain, we have defined the role of Risk Management where embedding risk management principles as part of the

Al strategy is central. We also included the role of Internal Audit, which is responsible for providing assurance on the Al governance mechanisms, policies, procedures, and controls.

The different domains can be tackled separately, from an organization-wide perspective to an in-depth perspective for specific departments or for specific Al tools.

The Role of Internal Audit **Domain** The Role of Risk Management Governance • Support the establishment or improvement of • Assess the effectiveness of AI oversight & Oversight the organization's Al governance and oversight structure and mechanisms within the mechanisms, as well as define the roles and organization. responsibilities of different committees. • Review and assess the roles and • Establish a dedicated team and/or committee responsibilities of key stakeholders in Al for AI ethics and governance to continuously governance. monitor internal AI initiatives. • Assess the effectiveness and adequacy of • Support the implementation of adequate the monitoring, reporting, and escalation escalation mechanisms, including those for processes for Al-related risks and addressing conflicts of interest in oversight opportunities. • Review existing mechanisms for identifying • Monitor developments in Al laws and and addressing conflicts of interest in regulatory standards to ensure the organization oversight roles. meets compliance requirements in a timely manner. Vision & • Support management in defining the AI vision, · Review the organization's AI vision and Strategy ambition, and strategy, while incorporating strategy to evaluate ethical considerations. risk management and ethical considerations. • Evaluate the alignment and clarity of the Al • Identify risk mitigation measures for high-risk vision and ambition with organizational goals Al-related initiatives or decisions through the and the overall strategy. risk assessment process and integrate them • Evaluate the effectiveness and inclusion of into the overall AI strategy. risk mitigation measures in the AI strategy and initiatives. • Evaluate the organization's ability to adapt to a changing technological and regulatory Al landscape through benchmarking against industry best practices. Policies & • Assist management in establishing Al-related • Assess the clarity, adequacy, and effectiveness **Procedures** policies and procedures to guide deployment, of Al-related policies and procedures across development, and usage of Al within the the organization. organization. • Review the alignment of organizational policies • Categorize AI systems based on risk and procedures with regulatory requirements categories outlined in the EU AI Act. and industry standards. • Raise awareness of Al policies and procedures • Ensure that Al-related policies are easily accessible to all relevant stakeholders within across the organization to educate stakeholders. the organization. • Help promote a culture of responsible and • Verify that adequate processes exist to ethical AI use within the organization. periodically update Al-related policies and

procedures.

Domain The Role of Internal Audit The Role of Risk Management Al Landscape • Conduct a comprehensive risk assessment & Inventory of the organization's AI ecosystem, including technologies, processes, and stakeholders. organization. • Develop and maintain a complete inventory of internal and external AI solutions and tools used within the organization. • Conduct periodic risk-based evaluations of each third-party AI vendor identified in the provisions. • Define consistent requirements for assessing Al vendors and include relevant elements in contracts with these vendors to manage risks effectively. **Al Awareness** • Develop effective communication strategies & Literacy to provide clear and concise information to all relevant stakeholders concerning Al-related Al practices. matters. Establish feedback mechanisms that encourage stakeholders to raise concerns or issues related to AI and provide appropriate • Ensure transparency in communicating channels for addressing these concerns. • Embed risk management principles in the change management approach for Al-related information. initiatives. • Ensure that change management plans for Al-related initiatives include organizational related concerns or issues. change management, training and development plans to mitigate risks associated with process or technology changes. development plans technology changes. Data • Evaluate the effectiveness of the organization's

Management, Security & **Privacy**



- data management framework and identify potential risks or weaknesses in current approaches.
- Monitor and manage third-party risks related to data protection, storage, and access to Al tools and solutions.
- Ensure compliance with applicable data protection and privacy laws and regulations.
- Implement security controls and measures to safeguard the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of Al-related data while adhering to relevant data protection and privacy regulations.

- Assess the suitability of external AI solutions and tools purchased or utilized by the
- Evaluate the security, privacy, and compliance aspects of third-party Al solutions and tools.
- Review and evaluate the process for identifying and evaluating third-party Al solutions and tools, including risk management and control
- Verify that all deployed Al solutions and tools meet organizational policies, legal and regulatory requirements, and industry best practices on security, privacy, and compliance.
- Evaluate the organization's leadership commitment to Al governance and ethical
- Review the accessibility and effectiveness of communication channels used for sharing Al-related updates and announcements.
- Al-related strategies, risks, and outcomes to stakeholders and in disclosing material
- Evaluate the effectiveness of current feedback mechanisms for stakeholders to raise Al-
- Assess the organization's change management approach for Al deployment, development, and usage, ensuring integrated organizational change management, training, and to mitigate risks associated with process or
- Assess the suitability of the organization's data management framework for handling Al-related data.
- Audit the effectiveness of security controls implemented for Al-related data.
- Audit compliance with relevant data protection and privacy regulations related to Al-related
- Evaluate measures implemented to maintain data integrity, confidentiality, and availability within AI tools and solutions used by the organization.

Domain The Role of Internal Audit The Role of Risk Management ΑI • Assess current Al competencies of the • Include elements of AI ethics and compliance Competences in employee training related to Al. This should organization and identify gaps. This should & Training be done across all levels of the organization, include both technical skills and domain and special consideration should be given to expertise. providing additional training for decision-• Evaluate the effectiveness of existing training makers and executives. programs in building AI competencies among Provide input in establishing the required Al employees. competencies for key positions within the • Assess specific training programs available for decision-makers and executives on AI topics. organization. This could involve identifying requirements for specific roles and establishing training and development programs to fill any skills gaps. **Evaluation &** • Provide support to management in developing • Conduct audits to assess the implementation **Monitoring** criteria for assessing AI tools and solutions and effectiveness of monitoring mechanisms performance. This should include benchmarks used to continuously evaluate the performance and metrics that measure the effectiveness of Al solutions • Evaluate established performance metrics and of Al solutions against established objectives and performance expectations. KPIs to ensure they align with organizational objectives and regulatory requirements and Implement monitoring mechanisms for continuous performance evaluation of AI make recommendations if necessary. systems. This could include the use of real-· Review and assess the effectiveness of time data analytics to track and evaluate Al feedback loops and continuous improvement system performance against established strategies to ensure they are achieving desired criteria. outcomes and provide suggestions for • Ensure that the assessment of AI initiatives improvement. and developments is incorporated into the annual strategic risk assessment process. **Documentation** • Conduct audits to ensure the completeness • Develop and implement appropriate record-& Recordkeeping practices for Al-related processes and accuracy of documentation related to Al Keeping algorithms, models, and solution outputs. and decisions. • Review the organization's record-keeping • Record and retain all relevant information in a systematic manner. practices related to AI processes and decisionmaking and conduct an audit if necessary. • Assess the organization's document management system to determine the ease of access, retrieval, and sharing of Al-related documentation, and make recommendations for improvement if necessary.



Embedding AI in your own activities for increased value creation

In this dynamic environment, Risk Management and Internal Audit professionals should also stay relevant by embracing innovative technologies and embedding Al in their own processes, to enhance effectiveness and efficiency but also keep up with their stakeholders' expectations.

Risk Management professionals can leverage Alinfused tooling to enhance their risk identification and assessment processes, as well as increase decision-making capabilities by accessing higher quality industry-specific information from large datasets, saving considerable time, cost, and energy compared to manual methods. Al algorithms can scan through large volumes of structured and unstructured data to identify patterns, trends, and anomalies that may indicate potential risks or opportunities. This enables Risk Management professionals to make more informed risk assessments and strategic planning decisions, leading to better outcomes for the organization.

Furthermore, Al can play a crucial role in reducing the cost of control for repetitive monitoring activities through advanced automation. Tasks such as data validation, anomaly detection, and trend analysis can be automated using Al, freeing up resources that can be redirected towards more strategic initiatives. By automating routine tasks, Risk Management professionals can focus their efforts on addressing high-priority risks or designing proactive stimulative risk mitigation strategies ultimately enhancing the organization's resilience and competitive advantage.

Empowering Risk Management Professionals

In conclusion, effective governance and controls around Al deployment, development, and usage is not only desirable, but imperative for organizations seeking to succeed in their Al transformation journey. By implementing adequate Al governance principles and leveraging the expertise of Risk Management and Internal Audit professionals in doing so, organizations can navigate the complexities of Al implementation with confidence. Risk Management and Internal Audit professionals should recognize the criticality of Al governance in their organizations.

Incorporating Al across the different phases of the Internal Audit process represents the next phase in the digital maturity journey of Internal Audit Functions. This can be a transformative shift, empowering Internal Audit Functions to operate with increased efficiency and effectiveness.

From continuously refining the audit universe to streamlining data collection and audit scope definition; from enhanced analysis and audit observation generation to audit report distribution in different languages, Al enables Internal Audit Functions to optimize resource allocation and focus on more value-adding activities for the organization. By automating routine tasks and providing advanced analytical capabilities, Al elevates the quality and depth of audit insights, enhancing the overall value proposition of Internal Audit to stakeholders.

In summary, embedding AI in both the Risk Management and the Internal Audit activities are imperative for organizations to stay relevant and effective in managing risks and conducting audits.

By harnessing the power of AI, Risk Management and Internal Audit professionals can unlock new levels of efficiency, effectiveness, and value creation, ensuring resilience and success in an increasingly complex and dynamic business environment.



How KPMG can help



At KPMG, we stand ready to support organizations in their Al governance journey by providing guidance, insights, and tailored solutions to address their specific challenges and objectives. Our risk management and internal audit services include assessment of existing Al governance frameworks, benchmarking against our Al Governance Framework and auditing your processes and controls.

Risk Management and Internal Audit professionals should be empowered to help ensure that AI development and deployment aligns with ethical and legal principles, while being accountable and transparent to stakeholders. This is where creating and operationalizing your Trusted Al Framework comes in. KPMG has developed a Trusted Al Framework that stresses fairness, transparency, explainability, accountability, data integrity, reliability, security, safety, privacy, and sustainability.

As organizations embark on their AI transformation journey, KPMG stands ready to be a trusted partner in their quest for responsible Al adoption. Our Trusted Al Framework helps ensure that Al implementation and usage are ethical, trustworthy, and responsible. Whether it is developing Al governance frameworks, assessing AI risks, or implementing ethical AI practices, KPMG is committed to empowering organizations to harness the full potential of AI technology while mitigating risks and upholding ethical standards.

Contact



Huck Chuah Partner Governance, Risk & Compliance Services Chuah.Huck@kpmg.nl T: +31 6 4636 6013



Pascal Raven Senior Manager Governance, Risk & **Compliance Services** Raven.Pascal@kpmg.nl T: +31 6 5149 4568



Frank van Praat Director Responsible Al vanPraat.Frank@kpmg.nl T: +31 6 5120 6152



Kaylon Solomons Manager Governance, Risk & **Compliance Services** Solomons.Kaylon@kpmg.nl T: +31 6 3086 8257

KPMG.nl











The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation