

## **EU Commission announces final decisions on state aid investigation into the Netherlands and Luxembourg tax rulings**

### **State aid – tax rulings – the Netherlands – Luxembourg**

On October 21, 2015 the European Commission announced its final decisions on its State aid investigations into transfer pricing rulings granted by the Netherlands and Luxembourg to companies in the Starbucks and Fiat Finance groups, respectively. These decisions confirm the Commission's preliminary view that the rulings in question involved State aid. This aid has now been determined to be incompatible with the internal market, and must be recovered (with interest) by the relevant national authorities from the beneficiaries of the aid.

### **Background**

The Commission announced its preliminary conclusions in the present two cases in June 2014. Similar investigations have been launched in respect of possible State aid granted by Ireland and Luxembourg to companies in the Apple and Amazon groups, respectively. In December 2014 the Commission ordered all Member States to list all the tax rulings issued since January 1, 2010, and in June 2015 requested 15 Member States to provide detailed information on some of their rulings. The investigations of tax rulings (primarily APAs) is one of three relevant EU initiatives in the areas of tax transparency and tackling harmful tax competition between Member States and tax avoidance. A related but separate development is the recent agreement on the exchange of information on tax rulings between Member States and the Commission. (The related information was detailed in our earlier newsletter.)

The Commission is required by EU law to review state aid granted by Member States and, if it finds that the aid is incompatible with the internal market, to order the Member State concerned not to put it into effect, or to abolish or alter it, or order the beneficiary to repay such aid within a prescribed timeframe. Broadly speaking, aid is incompatible with EU law if it distorts competition by, for example, favoring certain undertakings thus affecting trade between Member States, provided that it does not meet the conditions for Commission approval under the EU Treaty. Such aid is illegal and must be recovered by the Member State in question from its beneficiary.

### **Next steps**

These decisions form part of the standard state aid investigation procedure. The non-confidential parts of the decision are expected to be published in the next few months. Final decisions on the other two investigations are still awaited. It is now open to the Member States or the companies in question to appeal the decisions before



the Court of Justice of the European Union. The Dutch government has reacted by saying that it is surprised at the Commission's decision on the Starbucks case and that it considers that the international guidelines have been properly followed. The Dutch government will consider the decision carefully and will inform the Dutch parliament within a few weeks of its findings and possible next steps. The Luxembourg government has made similar statements.

### **KPMG's comment**

These decisions are clearly a significant step in the Commission's efforts to improve transparency and combat aggressive tax planning. Whether the rulings in question will ultimately be considered to constitute state aid will now depend on whether the decisions are appealed to the Court of Justice of the European Union. The recovery of state aid is a politically sensitive issue and there have been calls in the European Parliament for aid not to be given to the Member States that granted the state aid, but instead for this aid to be diverted to, for example, the EU budget. The latter is not currently possible and requires modification of the EU Treaty, which is unlikely at the moment.

Should you require further assistance in this matter, please contact our experts.

## Partners

### Gábor Beer

Partner, Head of Tax Advisory

**T:** +36 1 887 7329

**E:** gabor.beer@kpmg.hu

### dr. Csaba László

Senior Partner

**T:** +36 1 887 7420

**E:** csaba.laszlo@kpmg.hu

## Indirect Tax Advisory and Compliance Services

### Zoltán Farkas

Director

**T:** +36 1 887 7439

**E:** zoltan.farkas@kpmg.hu

### Zsolt Sránko

Manager

**T:** +36 1 887 7460

**E:** zsolt.sranko@kpmg.hu

## Corporate Tax, Deal Advisory and M&A Tax

### Gábor Zachár

Director

**T:** +36 1 887 6690

**E:** gabor.zachar@kpmg.hu

### dr. András Németh

Director

**T:** +36 1 887 7261

**E:** andras.nemeth@kpmg.hu

### Mihály Gerhát

Senior Manager

**T:** +36 1 887 7180

**E:** mihaly.gerhat@kpmg.hu

### dr. Helga Kiss

Senior Manager

**T.:** +36 1 887 5569

**E.:** helga.kiss@kpmg.hu

### Eszter Somogyi

Manager

**T.:** +36 1 887 6636

**E.:** eszter.somogyi@kpmg.hu

## International Tax

### Bálint Gombkötő

Director

**T:** +36 1 887 7159

**E:** balint.gombkoto@kpmg.hu

### Zsófia Pongrácz

Senior Manager

**T:** +36 1 887 7374

**E:** zsofia.pongracz@kpmg.hu

## Global Mobility Services

### Attila Zoltán Arányi

Senior Manager

**T:** +36 1 887 7304

**E:** attila.aranyi@kpmg.hu

### Gabriella Joó

Senior Manager

**T:** +36 1 887 6630

**E:** gabriella.joo@kpmg.hu

### Andrea Szűcs

Manager

**T:** +36 1 887 6589

**E:** andrea.szucs@kpmg.hu

## Transfer Pricing Advisory Group

### Mihály Gódor

Director

**T:** +36 1 887 7340

**E:** mihaly.godor@kpmg.hu

### Szabolcs Végh

Senior Manager

**T:** +36 1 887 7213

**E:** szabolcs.vegh@kpmg.hu

## Tax Advice for the Financial Services Sector

### Gábor Farkas

Senior Manager

**T:** +36 1 887 7415

**E:** gabor.farkas@kpmg.hu

### Balázs Pethő

Senior Manager

**T:** +36 1 887 7368

**E:** balazs.petho@kpmg.hu

## Accounting Advisory, Bookkeeping and Payroll Services

### Ágnes Rakó

Director

**T:** +36 1 887 7438

**E:** agnes.rako@kpmg.hu

## Legal Services

### dr. Dávid Bosznay

Attorney-at-law

**T:** +36 1 887 7311

**E:** david.bosznay@kpmg.hu

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavour to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The KPMG name, logo and "cutting through complexity" are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International").

© 2015 KPMG Tanácsadó Kft., a Hungarian limited liability company and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.