

On the 2025 audit committee agenda

KPMG Board Leadership Centre



Audit committees can expect their company's financial reporting, compliance, risk, and internal control environment to continue to be put to the test in 2025 by an array of challenges that have grown and intensified over the past year. From global economic volatility and the wars in Ukraine and the Middle East, to cyberattacks, global climate and the upcoming sustainability reporting requirements, and advances in artificial intelligence (AI). These issues, and others, will continue to put the audit committee's skill sets and agenda to the test. Does the audit committee have the leadership, composition, and agenda time to carry out its core oversight responsibilities—financial reporting and internal controls—along with the growing range and complexity of other risks? Drawing on insights from our survey of lead audit partners in the region, work and interactions with audit committees and business leaders, we highlight nine issues to keep in mind as audit committees consider and carry out their 2025 agendas.



First and foremost: stay focused on financial reporting and related internal control risks

Focusing on the financial reporting, accounting, and disclosure obligations posed by the current geopolitical, macroeconomic, and risk landscape will be a top priority and major undertaking for audit committees in 2025. Key areas of focus should include:

Forecasting and disclosures

Among the matters requiring the audit committee's attention are disclosures regarding the impact of the wars and conflicts, government sanctions, supply chain disruptions, heightened cybersecurity risk, inflation, interest rates, and market volatility; preparation of forward-looking cash-flow estimates; impairment of nonfinancial assets, including goodwill and other intangible assets; impact of events and trends on liquidity; accounting for financial assets (fair value); and going concern.

With companies making more tough calls in the current environment, regulators are emphasizing the importance of well-reasoned judgments and transparency, including

contemporaneous documentation to demonstrate that the company applied a rigorous process. Given the fluid nature of the long-term environment, disclosure of changes in judgments, estimates, and controls may be required more frequently—and audit committees should be questioning whether disclosure of these things along with cashflow generation is balanced and understandable.

Climate and other ESG related matters

Globally regulators, investors and other bodies are increasingly expecting companies to consider climate risks when preparing their financial statements. Whilst the regulators in the region have not yet prescribed a date for implementation of the IFRS S1 and S2, however, this would be a matter of time when the implementation date will be announced. Companies need to make materiality judgements when deciding what information about climate and other ESG-related risks to disclose in the financial statements.

Also, it is important, particularly for companies operating in sectors that are more significantly affected by climate risks, to consider the effect on the business model, strategy and financial performance along with the adequacy of related disclosures made both inside and outside their financial statements.

It is essential to build strong processes and effective internal controls from now. There is rapid change around ESG, which could make establishing proper reporting environment challenging. ESG reporting outside of the financial statements is still largely in an evolving phase of identifying and applying the emerging standards and regulations. As such, many companies' policies and processes for ESG reporting have not yet been fully developed. To prepare for mandatory ESG reporting, this control environment should be a area for audit committee focus.



Clarify the role of the audit committee in the oversight of GenAI, cybersecurity, and data governance

The explosive growth in the use of Gen AI has emphasized the importance of data quality, having a responsible use AI policy, complying with evolving privacy and AI laws and regulations, and rigorously assessing data governance practices.

As a result, many boards are probing whether the company's data governance framework and interrelated AI, Gen AI, and cybersecurity governance frameworks are keeping pace. In assessing the audit committee's oversight responsibilities in these areas, we recommend the following areas of focus.

Assessing audit committee oversight responsibilities for Gen AI

As we discuss in On the 2025 board agenda, for most companies, oversight currently is largely at the full board level—where boards are seeking to understand the company's strategy to develop business value from Gen AI, and monitor management's governance structure for the deployment and use of the technology. However, many audit committees may already be involved in overseeing specific Gen AI issues, and it is important to clarify the scope of the audit committee's responsibilities. Gen AI-related issues for which the audit committees may have oversight responsibilities include:


- Oversight of compliance with evolving AI and privacy laws and regulations globally.
- Use of Gen AI in the preparation and audit of financial statements and other regulatory filings.
- Use of Gen AI by internal audit and the finance team, and whether those functions have the necessary talent and skill sets.
- Development and maintenance of controls and procedures related to AI and Gen AI disclosures.

Assessing audit committee oversight responsibilities for cybersecurity and data governance

For many companies, much of the board's oversight responsibility for cybersecurity and data governance has resided with the audit committee. With the explosive growth in Gen AI and the significant risks posed by the technology, many boards are rigorously assessing their data governance and cybersecurity frameworks and processes.

Given the audit committee's heavy agenda, it may be helpful to have another board committee do the heavy lifting on cybersecurity and data governance. In On the 2025 board agenda, we discuss in more detail how boards are probing to determine whether the company's data governance and cybersecurity governance frameworks and processes are keeping pace with the growth and sophistication of data-related risks.

Wherever oversight resides, it is critical that boards understand the opportunities and risks posed by the technology, including how Gen AI is being used by the company, how it is generating business value, and how the company is managing and mitigating its risks. This may require education or even bringing news skills into the boardroom.



Monitor management's preparations for new climate reporting frameworks/standards and oversee the quality and reliability of the underlying data and reported metrics

One of the biggest challenges the committee will face is staying aware of rapidly evolving ESG standards and regulations given the rapidly changing landscape. This means keeping abreast of what is now in force and ready for implementation, as well as what is on the horizon that should be taken into consideration now. So, in the coming months, a priority for audit committees will be the state of the company's preparedness—requiring periodic updates from management including gap analyses, materiality assessments, resources, assurance readiness and any new skills needed to meet regulatory deadlines, when they will be announced and adopted in Saudi Arabia.

A key question is whether management has the necessary talent, resources, and expertise—internal and external—to gather, organize, calculate, assure, and report the necessary data, and to develop the necessary internal controls and procedures to support the both the regulatory and any voluntary climate disclosures. For many companies, this will require a cross-functional management team from legal, finance, sustainability, risk, operations, IT, HR, and internal audit. Identifying and recruiting climate and GHG emissions expertise for a climate team—which may be in short supply—and implementing new systems to automate the data-gathering process will be essential.

We recommend the following areas for audit committee focus in addition to management's climate-related expertise and resources: Management's plans to meet

compliance deadlines, considering materiality and double materiality, and disclosure controls and procedures, and internal controls. It is vital that audit committees are equipped to challenge management appropriately and resist any inclination to focus only on the good news stories.

Preparations will be a complex and expensive undertaking involve difficult interpretational issues, and likely may take months, or perhaps years, for some companies. Disclosure will be an iterative process (apart from any phase-in). Companies should closely monitor legal and regulatory developments, and consider the disclosures of their peers and others in their industry.

Getting ready for assurance

Audit committees should be asking management how ESG data is being collected, measured, and reported. Many companies have standalone ESG teams that are responsible for ESG-related reporting but may lack expertise around internal controls.

Finance may be able to offer advice, leadership and resources such as process and control templates to the broader organization given their knowledge of the control systems and processes used for financial reporting. This will become increasingly important as companies start to seek assurance and integrating ESG information into their annual reporting, when applicable.

Understand how technology, ESG reporting and other drivers are affecting the finance team's talent, efficiency, and value-add

Finance teams face a challenging environment; addressing talent shortages, while at the same time managing digital strategies and transformations and developing robust systems and procedures to collect and maintain high-quality climate and sustainability data both to meet investor and other stakeholder demands and in preparation for new disclosure requirements. At the same time, many are contending with difficulties in forecasting and planning for an uncertain environment. As audit committees monitor and help guide finance's progress, we suggest two areas of focus:

- ❑ Gen AI goes a long way toward solving one of the biggest pain points in finance: manual processes. Labor-intensive systems increase the risk of human errors, consume valuable resources, and limit real-time insights. At the same time, given the broad role for finance in strategy and risk management, finance professionals are uniquely positioned to spearhead Gen AI. But they first need to determine the potential value of Gen AI across their enterprise through the lens of workforce capacity and productivity. Gen AI and the acceleration of digital strategies and transformations presents important opportunities for finance to add greater value to the business.

- ❑ Many finance departments have been assembling or expanding management teams or committees charged with managing a range of climate and other sustainability activities, and preparing for related disclosure rules—e.g., identifying and recruiting climate and sustainability talent and expertise, developing internal controls and disclosure controls and procedures, and putting in place technology, processes, and systems.

It is essential that the audit committee devote adequate time to understanding finance’s Gen AI and digital transformation strategy and climate/sustainability strategy, and help ensure that finance is attracting, developing, and retaining the

leadership, talent, skill sets, and bench strength to execute those strategies, as well as its existing responsibilities. Staffing deficiencies in the finance department may pose the risk of a significant internal control breakdowns.

Similarly, be alert to the risks associated with over-reliance on technology too quickly by a few key people who “get it” without the wider business clearly understanding what it’s doing and how that fits into end-to-end processes.

Against this background, audit committees should be mindful that CFO (and senior finance staff) succession is getting more difficult as individuals with the full breath of skills required are in short supply.

Help sharpen the company’s focus on ethics, compliance, and culture

The reputational costs of an ethics or compliance failure are higher than ever, particularly given increased fraud risk, pressures on management to meet financial targets, and increased vulnerability to cyberattacks.

Fundamental to an effective compliance program is the right tone at the top and culture throughout the organization, including commitment to its stated values, ethics, and legal and regulatory compliance. This is particularly true in a complex business environment, as companies move quickly to innovate and capitalize on opportunities in new markets, leverage new technologies and data, engage with more vendors and third parties across complex supply chains. We suggest the audit committees to consider the following:

- Closely monitor the tone at the top and culture throughout the organization with a sharp focus on behaviors (not just results) and yellow flags.
- Is senior management sensitive to ongoing pressures on employees (both in the office and at home), employee health and safety, productivity, and employee engagement and morale?
- Leadership, communication, understanding, and compassion are essential. Does the company’s culture make it safe for people to do the right thing?
- It is helpful for directors to spend time in the field meeting employees to get a better feel for the culture.
- Help ensure that the company’s regulatory compliance and monitoring programs are up to date, cover all vendors in the global supply chain, and communicate the company’s expectations for high ethical standards.

As audit committee, work to create the appropriate balance between strong relationships and robust oversight.

A committee that fails to understand the line between oversight and management can easily find itself in a poor relationship with executive management; and effective oversight is difficult to achieve where management sees the audit committee as nothing more than a necessary corporate governance burden. Equally, an overly cozy relationship is unlikely to lead to effective oversight as challenging questions are all too easily avoided in such circumstances.

Create a safe space to ensure people can speak up when things aren’t going right because things do go wrong and it needs to be about how you are transparent about that and how you recover from it. Where organizations have huge change agendas on the go, how does the audit committee ensure they are getting sufficient visibility and that the right people are being held to account for delivering such change safely and effectively?

Also, focus on the effectiveness of the company’s whistleblower reporting channels (including whether complaints are being submitted) and investigation processes.

Does the audit committee see all whistle-blower complaints? If not, what is the process to filter complaints that are ultimately reported to the audit committee? With the radical transparency enabled by social media, the company’s culture and values, commitment to integrity and legal compliance, and its brand reputation are on full display.

Reinforce audit quality and stay abreast of the ‘assurance challenge’

Delivering a high-quality audit relies on the auditor, management and those charged with governance (boards and their audit committees) working effectively together.

The importance of commonly understood risk assessments and audit plans should not be underestimated. An appropriate risk assessment and audit plan requires cooperation between the company and the auditor, and should factor in a common, dynamic and responsive understanding of how the company’s financial reporting and related internal control risks have changed in light of the geopolitical, macroeconomic, regulatory and risk landscape, as well as changes in the business. A formal, planned and agreed escalation framework should ensure effective resolution of issues in a timely and effective manner.

It is fundamentally important that the auditor approaches the audit with adequate professional skepticism and challenge. The audit committee should contribute to the enhancement of audit quality by setting a tone with management that supports open and robust challenge. Effective auditor challenge is best achieved if it is in risk assessed areas of material importance and should be evidenced by sufficient and proportionate documentation.

Documentation alone will not however tell the “full story” of an audit—active engagement by and with all parties is essential to understand the story of the audit. Set clear expectations for frequent and open communication between the auditor and the audit committee, beyond the required communications that include matters about the auditor’s independence as well as matters related to the planning and results of the audit.

Be mindful that auditors can also enhance the audit committee’s oversight by providing valuable insights and perspectives through an independent lens, particularly regarding the company’s culture, tone at the top, and the quality of talent in the finance team.

Audit committees should also probe the audit firm on its quality control systems that are intended to drive sustainable, improved audit quality—including the firm’s implementation and use of new technologies such as AI to drive audit quality. In discussions with the external auditor regarding the firm’s internal quality control systems, consider the results of regulatory inspections and internal inspections and efforts to address deficiencies.

Make sure internal audit is focused on the company’s critical risks—beyond financial reporting and compliance—and is a valuable resource for the audit committee

At a time when audit committees are wrestling with heavy agendas and issues like Gen AI, ESG, supply chain disruptions, cybersecurity and data governance, and global compliance are putting risk management to the test, internal audit should be a valuable resource for the audit committee and a crucial voice on risk and control matters. This means focusing not just on financial reporting and compliance risks, but on critical operational, Gen AI and other technology risks and related controls, as well as ESG risks.

Controls and procedures should be a key area of internal audit focus. Clarify internal audit’s role in connection with ESG risks and enterprise risk management more generally—which is not to manage risk, but to provide added assurance regarding the adequacy of risk management processes. Does the finance organization have the talent it needs? Do management teams have the necessary resources and skill sets to execute new climate and other ESG initiatives? Recognize that internal audit is not immune to talent pressures.

Given the evolving geopolitical, macroeconomic, and risk landscape, reassess whether the internal audit plan is risk-based and flexible enough to adjust to changing business and risk conditions. Going forward, the audit committee should work with the head of internal audit and chief risk officer to help identify the risks that pose the greatest threat to the company’s reputation, strategy, and operations, and to help ensure that internal audit is focused on these key risks and related controls.

These may include industry-specific, mission-critical, and regulatory risks, economic and geopolitical risks, the impact of climate change on the business, cybersecurity and data privacy, risks posed by Gen AI and digital technologies, talent management and retention, hybrid work and organizational culture, supply chain and third-party risks, and the adequacy of business continuity and crisis management plans.

Internal audit's broadening mandate will likely require upskilling the function. Set clear expectations and help ensure that internal audit has the talent, resources, skills, and expertise to succeed—and help the chief audit executive think through the impact of digital technologies on internal audit.

Stay apprised of tax legislative developments

Tax is high on the agenda whether it is the increasing complexities in global tax policies—including the tax rules proposed by the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) initiative—or national governments introducing new taxes, such as those related to funding a green transition. Also, the on-going attention to ESG has brought with it increased focus on tax governance.

Tax strategy is generally a matter for the whole board, but given both the risk management and financial reporting consequences of taxation, there is a natural role for the audit committee—a role that is set to become more onerous given expectations that, in the coming years, tax audits will become more intense, information requests from authorities more thorough, and disclosure requirements more detailed.

Take a fresh look at the audit committee's composition and skill sets

The continued expansion of the audit committee's oversight responsibilities beyond its core oversight responsibilities (financial reporting and related internal controls, and internal and external auditors) has heightened concerns about the committee's bandwidth, composition and skill sets. Assess whether the committee has the time and the right composition and skill sets to oversee the major risks on its plate. Such an assessment is sometimes done in connection with a reassessment of issues assigned to each board standing committee.

In making that assessment, we recommend four areas to probe as part of the audit committee's annual self-evaluation:

- Does the committee have the bandwidth and members with the experience and skill sets necessary to oversee areas of risk beyond its core responsibilities that it has been assigned? For example, do cyber and data security, AI and Gen AI, ESG (including climate), or mission-critical risks such as safety, as well as supply chain issues and geopolitical risk, require more attention at the full board level—or perhaps the focus of a separate board committee?
- How many committee members spent their careers working on financial accounting, reporting, and control issues? Is the committee relying only on one or two members to do the “heavy lifting” in the oversight of financial reporting and controls?

- As the committee's workload expands to include oversight of disclosures of non-financial information—including cybersecurity, climate, Gen AI, and environmental and social issues—as well as related controls and procedures, does it have the necessary financial reporting and internal control expertise to effectively carry out these responsibilities as well as its core oversight responsibilities?
- Does the committee need to hire experts in order to discharge its oversight duties?
- As we discuss in *On the 2025 board agenda*, boards should identify categories of risk for which the audit committee and another board committee(s) each have oversight responsibilities, and clearly delineate the responsibilities of each committee. For example, in the oversight of climate and other ESG risks, the sustainability committee, remuneration committee, audit committees and even nomination committee likely each have some oversight responsibilities. And where cybersecurity and AI oversight resides in a technology committee (or other committee), the audit committee may also have a role to play.

For smaller boards, the challenge of securing the ‘right’ balance of skills and experience is particularly acute. In such cases, consideration should be given to increasing the size of the board/audit committee.

With investors and regulators focusing on audit committee composition and skill sets—as well as audit committee agenda overload—the composition of the audit committee is an important issue.

Contacts



Abdullah Akbar
Partner, Audit &
Head of Board Leadership Centre
E: amakbar@kpmg.com



Mazen Hamad
Partner, Enterprise Risk Services
E: mhamad@kpmg.com



Mohammad Abudalo
Partner, Enterprise Risk Services
E: mabudalo@kpmg.com



Dr. Samer Abdallah
Partner, Advisory
E: samerabdallah@kpmg.com



Kamran Sial
Partner, Tax
E: ksial@kpmg.com



Mohammed Alkhelaiwi
Partner, Audit
E: malkhelaiwi@kpmg.com

Board Leadership Centre

The KPMG Board Leadership Centre offers support and guidance to non-executive directors, whether managing a portfolio non-executive career or embarking on a first appointment. We aim to equip you with the tools you need to be highly effective in your role, enabling you to focus on the issues that really matter to you and your business.

kpmg.com/sa



Disclaimer

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

Publishing date: March 2025

© 2025 KPMG Professional Services, a Saudi Closed Joint Stock Company and a non-partner member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.