kpmg TaxNewsFlash

United States

No. 2017-207 May 22, 2017

U.S. Supreme Court: Certiorari denied in state tax cases, retroactive tax changes

The U.S. Supreme Court today issued orders denying petitions for certiorari in several cases challenging the constitutionality of retroactive state tax law changes.

In one of the denied cases, *Dot Foods, Inc. v. Dep't of Revenue of the State of Washington*, the taxpayer argued that the Washington State Supreme Court erred when it held that a four-year period of retroactivity comported with the Due Process Clause. The other cases involved several different taxpayers, and generally concerned whether the Michigan legislature's retroactive repeal of the Multistate Tax Compact back to 2008 (which effectively disallowed the Compact election) also violated the taxpayers' due process. The Michigan taxpayers also requested that the high court review the Michigan appeals court's holding in multiple cases that the Compact was not a binding contract, and that its retroactive repeal did not violate the Contract Clause of the U.S. Constitution.

Read the orders [PDF 82 KB]

KPMG observation

The last time the Supreme Court addressed retroactivity was in 1994 in *United States v. Carlton.* In *Carlton*, the Court held that the retroactive period must be "rationally related" to a legitimate legislative purpose for a law increasing a tax liability to pass muster under the Due Process Clause. While the Court did not grant certiorari in any of these cases, this was not a surprising outcome because the Court very rarely agrees to hear state tax cases. Furthermore, the issue of retroactive state tax increases has been before the Court before. Most recently, in 2015, the Court denied cert in *In re Estate of Hambleton*, another Washington case challenging an eight-year period of retroactivity.

The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to be applied to any specific reader's particular set of facts. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. Applicability of the information to specific situations should be determined through consultation with your tax adviser.

KPMG International is a Swiss cooperative that serves as a coordinating entity for a network of independent member firms. KPMG International provides no audit or other client services. Such services are provided solely by member firms in their respective geographic areas. KPMG International and its member firms are legally distinct and separate entities. They are not and nothing contained herein shall be construed to place these entities in the relationship of parents, subsidiaries, agents, partners, or joint venturers. No member firm has any authority (actual, apparent, implied or otherwise) to obligate or bind KPMG International or any member firm in any manner whatsoever.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to <u>Washington National Tax</u>. For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533.4366, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash-United States, reply to Washington National Tax.

Privacy | Legal

© 2017 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A.