

## TaxNewsFlash

**United States** 

No. 2017-381 September 14, 2017

## South Dakota: State's high court upholds "Quill" physical presence standard

The South Dakota Supreme Court held that the state is bound to follow established U.S. Supreme Court precedent, and therefore, a law imposing economic nexus standards on remote retailers was not valid in light of the physical presence standard most recently articulated in *Quill v. North Dakota*.

In reaching this conclusion, the state high court rejected the state's arguments that the *Quill* physical presence standard is outdated in light of advances in software and technology. The case is: *South Dakota v. Wayfair, Inc.,* 28160-a-GAS (S.D. September 13, 2017). Read the **decision** [DPF 417 KB]

## **Summary**

In 2016, South Dakota became the first state to enact economic nexus provisions for sales and use tax purposes. Specifically, effective May 1, 2016, all entities with annual sales in South Dakota exceeding \$100,000 or with more than 200 separate transactions in the state were required to collect and remit South Dakota sales and use tax. To expedite anticipated litigation, the state was authorized to bring an action in any circuit court in the state against sellers it believed met the requirements—even if there was no ongoing or completed audit and no pending administrative action.

Before the law took effect, South Dakota filed a declaratory judgment action against certain online retailers under the terms of the economic nexus law. The state asked the court to issue a determination that it may constitutionally require remote sellers without a physical presence in the state to collect sales tax. After the retailers had the case removed to federal court, it was subsequently remanded back to state circuit court. On March 6, 2017, a state circuit court found in favor of the online retailers, with the presiding judge noting that he was bound to follow the U.S. Supreme Court precedent in *Quill*.

South Dakota appealed. The South Dakota Supreme Court affirmed.

However persuasive the State's arguments on the merits of revisiting the issue, Quill has not been overruled. Quill remains the controlling precedent on the issue of Commerce Clause limitations on interstate collection of sales and use taxes. We are mindful of the Supreme Court's directive to follow its precedent when it "has direct application in a case" and to leave to that Court "the prerogative of overruling its own decisions."

## **KPMG** observation

It is fully expected that the state will petition the U.S. Supreme Court for review of the case. Whether the U.S. Supreme Court will decide to revisit the issue is unknown. Much has been made of the fact that U.S. Supreme Court Justice Anthony Kennedy, in a concurring opinion in *Direct Marketing Association v. Brohl*, suggested that the *Quill* decision needs to be re-examined and stated that the decision was "questionable even when decided." However, one Justice's thoughts do not necessarily mean that the Court will agree to hear the case.

Read a September 2017 report [PDF 104 KB] prepared by KPMG LLP

The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to be applied to any specific reader's particular set of facts. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. Applicability of the information to specific situations should be determined through consultation with your tax adviser.

KPMG International is a Swiss cooperative that serves as a coordinating entity for a network of independent member firms. KPMG International provides no audit or other client services. Such services are provided solely by member firms in their respective geographic areas. KPMG International and its member firms are legally distinct and separate entities. They are not and nothing contained herein shall be construed to place these entities in the relationship of parents, subsidiaries, agents, partners, or joint venturers. No member firm has any authority (actual, apparent, implied or otherwise) to obligate or bind KPMG International or any member firm in any manner whatsoever.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to Washington National Tax. For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533.4366, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash-United States, reply to Washington National Tax.

Privacy | Legal