



# TaxNewsFlash

## United States

No. 2018-020  
January 12, 2018

### **U.S. Supreme Court: Certiorari granted in South Dakota case seeking to overturn “Quill”**

The U.S. Supreme Court today granted certiorari in a case in which South Dakota seeks to have a statute imposing economic nexus standards on remote sellers upheld by the Court. In its petition for certiorari, South Dakota asked the Court to revisit and abrogate the sales and use tax physical presence nexus requirement upheld in “*Quill v. North Dakota*.”

The case is: *South Dakota v. Wayfair, Inc.*, cert. granted (January 12, 2018) (No. 17-494)

#### **Background**

In 2016, South Dakota became the first state to enact “pure” economic nexus provisions for sales and use tax purposes. Specifically, effective May 1, 2016, all entities with annual sales in South Dakota exceeding \$100,000 or with more than 200 separate transactions in the state were required to collect and remit South Dakota sales and use tax. These provisions were intended to—and quickly did—jump-start litigation because the measures directly contravened the *Quill* physical presence standard.

In September 2017, the South Dakota Supreme Court held that the state was bound to follow established U.S. Supreme Court precedent, and therefore, the law imposing economic nexus standards on remote retailers was not valid in light of the physical presence standard. In reaching this conclusion, the state’s high court rejected the state’s arguments that the *Quill* physical presence standard is outdated in light of advances in software and technology. South Dakota, as expected, petitioned for certiorari.

#### **KPMG observation**

The granting of certiorari in this case may have sweeping implications for all states and state taxpayers.

It remains to be seen when the oral arguments will be scheduled, but it is believed the case will be heard in the spring. It also remains to be seen whether the Court will accept South Dakota's invitation to overturn *Quill*. Whatever the outcome, the holding will have a significant impact on remote sellers and on states. About a dozen other states have enacted laws similar or somewhat similar to South Dakota's measures.

Read a [January 2018 report](#) [PDF 44 KB] prepared by KPMG LLP

The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to be applied to any specific reader's particular set of facts. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. Applicability of the information to specific situations should be determined through consultation with your tax adviser.

KPMG International is a Swiss cooperative that serves as a coordinating entity for a network of independent member firms. KPMG International provides no audit or other client services. Such services are provided solely by member firms in their respective geographic areas. KPMG International and its member firms are legally distinct and separate entities. They are not and nothing contained herein shall be construed to place these entities in the relationship of parents, subsidiaries, agents, partners, or joint venturers. No member firm has any authority (actual, apparent, implied or otherwise) to obligate or bind KPMG International or any member firm in any manner whatsoever.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to [Washington National Tax](#). For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533.4366, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash-United States, reply to [Washington National Tax](#).

[Privacy](#) | [Legal](#)