

TaxNewsFlash

United States

No. 2018-104 March 14, 2018

U.S. Tax Court: "Short sale" of residence and debt forgiveness held one transaction

The U.S. Tax Court today held that a "short sale" and debt forgiveness with respect to a nonrecourse loan on the taxpayers' residential real estate were parts of one transaction. The court found the transaction did not generate cancellation-of-indebtedness (COI) income or gain or loss.

The case is: Simonsen v. Commissioner, 150 T.C. No. 8 (March 14, 2018). Read the Tax Court's opinion [PDF 131 KB]

Background

The taxpayers (husband and wife) in 2005 bought a home in northern California and financed the purchase with nonrecourse debt. Following the downturn in the economy, the couple in 2010 moved out of the house and converted it to rental property.

The taxpayers eventually faced a mortgage debt much greater than the value of the home. They were unable to keep up with their loan payments, and negotiated a "short sale." The bank discharged the debt.

The taxpayers believed the short sale and the debt forgiveness were two separate transactions that resulted in both a substantial deductible loss and excludable COI income.

The IRS, however, determined that this was one sale or exchange; that there was no COI income; and that there was no deductible loss. The IRS assessed a tax deficiency of approximately \$70,000 and imposed an accuracy-related penalty of almost \$14,000.

Tax Court's opinion

The Tax Court today held that the short sale and and debt forgiveness were part of one sale or exchange, and that the amount realized included the discharged nonrecourse debt. The court found no COI income.

As the court further explained, because the home loan was nonrecourse debt, the amount realized on the sale included the discharged debt. The taxpayers received no consideration in addition to the bank's forgiveness cancelling the loan balance. Accordingly, the amount realized was greater than their loss basis in the property, but less than their gain basis in the property (pursuant to Reg. section 1.165-9(b)(2)). The court thus concluded when property is sold for an amount between those bases, there is neither a gain nor a loss on the sale.

The Tax Court held that the taxpayers were not liable for the accuracy-related penalty under section 6662(a).

The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to be applied to any specific reader's particular set of facts. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. Applicability of the information to specific situations should be determined through consultation with your tax adviser.

KPMG International is a Swiss cooperative that serves as a coordinating entity for a network of independent member firms. KPMG International provides no audit or other client services. Such services are provided solely by member firms in their respective geographic areas. KPMG International and its member firms are legally distinct and separate entities. They are not and nothing contained herein shall be construed to place these entities in the relationship of parents, subsidiaries, agents, partners, or joint venturers. No member firm has any authority (actual, apparent, implied or otherwise) to obligate or bind KPMG International or any member firm in any manner whatsoever.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to <u>Washington National Tax</u>. For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533.4366, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash-United States, reply to Washington National Tax.

Privacy | Legal