



# TaxNewsFlash

## United States



No. 2022-083  
March 21, 2022

## U.S. Tax Court: Initial determination of penalties, after IRS supervisory approval was obtained

The U.S. Tax Court today released a memorandum opinion concluding that the “initial determination” of penalties was appropriately and timely made—that is, after the examining agent secured approval from her IRS supervisor.

The case is: *Oxbow Bend LLC v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo 2022-23 (March 21, 2022). Read the [I.C. Memo opinion](#) [PDF 113 KB]

### Summary

A charitable contribution deduction of over \$12 million was claimed for a conservation easement granted on land in Alabama. The IRS challenged the claimed deduction and in 2019 issued a notice of final partnership administrative adjustment (FPAA) disallowing the charitable contribution deduction and determining penalties.

The matter ended up before the Tax Court, with both parties filing motions for partial summary judgment on the question whether the IRS had complied with section 6751(b)(1) with respect to the assessment of penalties. Section 6751(b)(1) requires that the “initial determination” of a penalty assessment must be personally approved (in writing) by the immediate supervisor of the person making that determination.

The IRS asserted that the “initial determination” of the penalties was communicated in the FPAA that was issued two months after the examining agent secured approval from her IRS supervisor. Specifically, the examining agent’s immediate supervisor signed the penalty-related document on February 11, 2019. The definite decision to assert penalties was formally communicated to the taxpayer two months later, in the FPAA dated April 10, 2019.

The taxpayer countered that supervisory approval came too late because the “initial determination” occurred five months earlier, when the examining agent mentioned the penalty recommendations during a telephone call with the taxpayer’s representative.

The Tax Court concluded that the IRS had “the better argument,” and granted the IRS’s motion for partial summary judgment.

**kpmg.com/socialmedia**



The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be “written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters” subject to the requirements of section 1037(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader’s knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

KPMG International Limited is a private English company limited by guarantee and does not provide services to clients. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to [Washington National Tax](#). For more information, contact KPMG’s Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533.4366, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash-United States, reply to [Washington National Tax](#).

[Privacy](#) | [Legal](#)