



# TaxNewsFlash

United States



No. 2022-128  
April 22, 2022

## Sixth Circuit: Tax credit applied against fuel excise tax reduces excise tax liability

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit today affirmed a federal district court's grant of summary judgment for the government in a taxpayer's claim that a tax credit applied against the fuel excise tax reduces the taxpayer's gross income and therefore its income tax liability. The taxpayer asserted that the tax credit satisfied, but did not reduce its excise tax liability.

The Sixth Circuit rejected the taxpayer's argument and held that the tax credit did reduce the taxpayer's excise tax liability.

The case is *Delek US Holdings LLC v. United States*, No. 21-5257 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. April 22, 2022). Read the Sixth Circuit's [decision](#) [PDF 180 KB]

### Summary

Under section 6426, a fuel producer can earn "a credit" (the mixture credit) by mixing alcohol or biodiesel into its products. The mixture credit applies "against the [excise] tax imposed by section 4081."

Under section 6427(e), a producer can also receive the mixture credit amount in the form of direct, non-taxable payments, but only to the extent the mixture credit exceeds the excise tax liability.

The taxpayer, a fuel producer, claimed for 2010 and 2011 over \$64 million in mixture credits. When the taxpayer filed its 2010 and 2011 tax returns, it subtracted this mixture credit amount from its cost of goods sold—which in turn, increased its gross income and—by extension—its income tax burden.

In 2015, the taxpayer reconsidered this treatment and filed a refund claim for more than \$16 million. The taxpayer claimed that its section 6426 mixture credits were "payments" that could only satisfy, but not reduce, the excise tax amount and that subtracting the mixture credit from the cost of goods sold was a mistake. The IRS denied the refund claim, and the taxpayer filed suit in federal district court seeking judgment in the amount of the alleged overpayment. The district court granted summary judgment for the government. The taxpayer appealed.

Today, the Sixth Circuit affirmed the grant of summary judgment for the government, and examining the statutory language, rejected the taxpayer's claim that the mixture credit was a "payment" that satisfies, but does not reduce, its excise tax liability.

[kpmg.com/socialmedia](https://kpmg.com/socialmedia)



The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

KPMG International Limited is a private English company limited by guarantee and does not provide services to clients. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to [Washington National Tax](#). For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533.4366, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash-United States, reply to [Washington National Tax](#).

[Privacy](#) | [Legal](#)