



TaxNewsFlash

United States



No. 2023-359
October 12, 2023

U.S. Supreme Court schedules oral arguments in Moore case for December 5

The U.S. Supreme Court has scheduled oral arguments in *Moore v. United States*, Docket no. 22-800—an appeal from a 2022 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit upholding the constitutionality of the mandatory repatriation tax under section 965—for December 5, 2023.

Read the Supreme Court's [argument calendar](#) [PDF 64 KB]

The government's official response to the taxpayer's petition for certiorari is due October 16, 2023, with amicus briefs in support of the government set to follow.

Summary

As previously reported in [TaxNewsFlash](#):

- The taxpayers challenged the constitutionality of subpart F's ability to permit taxation of the post-1986 income of a controlled foreign corporation (CFC) through the mandatory repatriation tax under section 965 on the grounds that it violates the Constitution's Apportionment Clause and Fifth Amendment's Due Process Clause.
- The district court dismissed the action for failure to state a claim, denied the taxpayers' cross-motion for summary judgment, and the taxpayers appealed.
- The Ninth Circuit held that, given the background of the government's power to lay and collect taxes, the mandatory repatriation tax under section 965 is consistent with the Apportionment Clause, which requires that a direct tax be apportioned so that each state pays in proportion to its population. The Sixteenth Amendment exempts from the apportionment requirement taxes on "incomes, from whatever source derived" The Ninth Circuit observed that courts have consistently upheld the constitutionality of taxes similar to the mandatory repatriation tax notwithstanding any difficulty in defining income, that the realization of income does not determine the tax's constitutionality, and that there is no constitutional ban on Congress disregarding the corporate form to facilitate taxation of shareholders' income. The court explained that subpart F

only applies to U.S. persons owning at least 10% of a CFC, the mandatory repatriation tax builds upon a pre-existing liability attributing a CFC's income to its shareholders, and the taxpayers were, and continue to be, treated as individuals who have some ability to control distribution.

- The Ninth Circuit also held that, assuming without deciding that the mandatory repatriation tax is retroactive, the tax does not violate the Fifth Amendment's Due Process Clause. The court explained that the mandatory repatriation tax serves the legitimate purpose of preventing CFC shareholders who have not yet received distributions from obtaining a windfall by never having to pay taxes on their offshore earnings that have not yet been distributed. The mandatory repatriation tax accomplishes this legitimate purpose by rational means by accelerating the effective repatriation date of undistributed CFC earnings to a date following passage of the 2017 legislation known as the "Tax Cuts and Jobs Act" (TCJA). Read the Ninth Circuit's [decision](#) (June 7, 2022) [PDF 218 KB]
- The Ninth Circuit subsequently denied the taxpayer's petition for a rehearing en banc of its decision on November 22, 2022. Four judges dissented from the denial of rehearing un banc based on the taxpayers' Apportionment Clause claims. Read [TaxNewsFlash](#)
- The taxpayer then sought certiorari before the Supreme Court, which was granted on 26 June 2023.

kpmg.com/socialmedia



The information contained in TaxNewsFlash is not intended to be "written advice concerning one or more Federal tax matters" subject to the requirements of section 10.37(a)(2) of Treasury Department Circular 230, as the content of this document is issued for general informational purposes only, is intended to enhance the reader's knowledge on the matters addressed therein, and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

KPMG International Limited is a private English company limited by guarantee and does not provide services to clients. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm.

Direct comments, including requests for subscriptions, to [Washington National Tax](#). For more information, contact KPMG's Federal Tax Legislative and Regulatory Services Group at + 1 202.533.3712, 1801 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-1301.

To unsubscribe from TaxNewsFlash-United States, reply to [Washington National Tax](#).

[Privacy](#) | [Legal](#)