



GMS Flash Alert

Global Compensation Edition

2017-142 | September 27, 2017



United States – New Implementation Guidance from SEC on CEO Pay Ratio Disclosure

The wishful hopes of many public companies were possibly dashed on September 21, 2017, as the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued interpretive implementation guidance, thereby acknowledging that CEO Pay Ratio disclosure will most likely neither be delayed nor repealed.¹

From a global perspective, the new U.S. disclosure rules are similar to other countries' efforts, particularly in Europe, to move towards more transparency related to pay equity and executive compensation, in general.

WHY THIS MATTERS

The requirements will impose new disclosure burdens on companies and will heighten scrutiny of executive compensation policies by employees, shareholders, government, and the public.

With the proxy season approaching, now is a good time for companies to be getting their pay ratio ready and coordinating with the Compensation Committee in consideration of preparing internal and external communication plans (including proxy disclosure).

Background

In August 2015, the SEC adopted the CEO Pay Ratio rule that requires public companies to disclose (i) CEO compensation; (ii) median employee total annual compensation; and (iii) the ratio of CEO pay compared to median employee pay as required under the Dodd-Frank Act.

© 2017 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A. NDPPS 53015921

2017-142 | September 27, 2017

On September 21, 2017, the SEC issued an interpretive release² on the new rule, while the Division of Corporate Finance provided guidance³ that reinforced the perceived implementation flexibility, including the setting of parameters for statistical sampling methods.

Highlights of the New Guidance

Reasonable Estimates Are Allowed: Required disclosures that are constructed using reasonable estimates, assumptions, and methodologies to develop the pay ratio and related disclosures, "...would not provide the basis for Commission enforcement action unless the disclosure was made or reaffirmed without a reasonable basis or was provided other than in good faith."⁴

Existing Internal Records Are Sufficient: Tax, payroll and other existing internal records "...that reasonably reflect annual compensation to identify the median employee, even if those records do not include every element of compensation, such as equity awards widely distributed to employees"⁵ can be used to identify the median employee.

"Leased" Workers Are Just One Example of Independent Contractors: The guidance reaffirms that independent contractors are excluded from the pay ratio analysis and provides that "independent contractors" may include more than just "leased" workers. The new guidance allows any, "widely recognized test under another area of law that the company uses to determine whether its workers are employees"⁶ to be used to define independent contractors for purposes of calculating the CEO pay ratio.

Statistical Sampling Is Acceptable: The Division of Corporate Finance specifically indicated that statistical sampling is acceptable.⁷ It was also noted that various methods can be used alone or in combination with one another. Four specific methods were cited as potentially appropriate, with an indication that such a list is not intended to be all-inclusive:

- Simple random sampling – random selection of a certain number of employees from the entire employee population;
- Stratified sampling – sampling employees within specific strata (considering business unit, location, job grade);
- Cluster sampling – Grouping employees into clusters based on selected criteria and sampling employee observations within the clusters;
- Systematic sampling – sampling employees according to a random starting point and then applying a fixed sampling interval, for example, every *n*th employee is drawn from a list.

KPMG NOTE

In our experience, the full universe of data approach has been the widely preferred approach for determining the draft CEO Pay Ratios. In an effort to explore alternatives, many companies have used one or more sampling approaches as an additional validation of their calculated ratio using the full universe approach. Since the recently issued guidance provides detailed discussion on estimates and sampling methodologies, companies may begin to explore statistical sampling as the primary approach.

Employers: Timeline and Steps to Consider

Companies are required to provide the new pay ratio disclosures for the first fiscal year commencing on or after January 1, 2017; and as a result, companies with a fiscal year ending December 31, 2017, will need to disclose the pay ratio information (reflecting 2017 compensation) in their 2018 proxy statements.

As the proxy season approaches, management teams should have their pay ratio ready and be coordinating with the Compensation Committee when preparing internal and external communication plans (including proxy disclosure) to address their CEO pay, median employee compensation, and overall methodology.

KPMG NOTE

The CEO Pay Ratio rule provides companies with significant flexibility to determine the “median” employee. This flexibility recognizes the inherent differences in business and workforce operations while satisfying the filing requirements. While there are broad guidelines, it is up to each company to determine their assumptions and methodology and not rely on any industry estimates that may not directly reflect the company’s compensation distribution.

FOOTNOTES:

- 1 See: <https://www.sec.gov/rules/interp/2017/33-10415.pdf>.
- 2 See: <https://www.sec.gov/rules/interp/2017/33-10415.pdf>.
- 3 See: <https://www.sec.gov/corpfin/announcement/guidance-calculation-pay-ratio-disclosure>.
- 4 SEC, “Commission Guidance on Pay Ratio Disclosure,” p. 3.
- 5 SEC, “Commission Guidance on Pay Ratio Disclosure,” p. 5.
- 6 SEC, “Commission Guidance on Pay Ratio Disclosure,” p. 7.
- 7 “Division of Corporation Finance Guidance on Calculation of Pay Ratio Disclosure,” pp. 1 and 3.

* * * *

Contact us

For additional information or assistance, please contact your local GMS or People Services professional or one of the following KPMG professionals:



Jill Hemphill
Partner
Compensation and Benefits
Tel. +1-212-954-1942
jhemphill@kpmg.com



Greg Kopp
Managing Director
Compensation and Benefits
Tel. +1-202-533-8011
gkopp@kpmg.com



Robert Berkowitz
Senior Associate
Compensation and Benefits
Tel. +1-917-438-3865
rberkowitz@kpmg.com

The KPMG Compensation and Benefits team has a wealth of experience in transactional, advisory, and compliance services. We will be able to advise your business in relation to practical considerations in light of the above changes, taking into account your unique operational models and total reward packages.

The information contained in this newsletter was submitted by the KPMG International member firm in the United States.

www.kpmg.com

kpmg.com/socialmedia



© 2017 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and the U.S. member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A. NDPPS 530159

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

The KPMG logo and name are trademarks of KPMG International. KPMG International is a Swiss cooperative that serves as a coordinating entity for a network of independent member firms. KPMG International provides no audit or other client services. Such services are provided solely by member firms in their respective geographic areas. KPMG International and its member firms are legally distinct and separate entities. They are not and nothing contained herein shall be construed to place these entities in the relationship of parents, subsidiaries, agents, partners, or joint venturers. No member firm has any authority (actual, apparent, implied or otherwise) to obligate or bind KPMG International or any member firm in any manner whatsoever. The information contained in herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

Flash Alert is a GMS publication of KPMG LLP's Washington National Tax practice. To view this publication or recent prior issues online, please click [here](#). To learn more about our GMS practice, please visit us on the Internet: click [here](#) or go to <http://www.kpmg.com>.