



Euro Tax Flash from KPMG's EU Tax Centre



[Background](#)

[The European Commission's decision](#)

[Next steps](#)

[EU Tax Centre comment](#)

European Commission decision in Luxembourg fiscal state aid case

Fiscal State Aid – Tax Rulings – Tax Treaty Law – Permanent Establishment – Double Non-Taxation – Mismatch - Luxembourg – US

On September 19, 2018 the European Commission issued its final decision in the State aid investigation into two tax rulings obtained from Luxembourg by McDonald's (see the European Commission's [press release](#)). The Commission concluded that the tax rulings issued by the Luxembourg Tax Administration to McDonald's do not constitute State aid within the meaning of EU law.

Background

Under EU law, the Commission is obliged to review whether Member States give selected companies a preferential treatment, incompatible with applicable State aid rules. Tax rulings have increasingly become a center of attention as their investigation became part of what the Commission refers to as a wider strategy towards tax transparency and fair taxation. This has led to inquiries into the compatibility of the tax ruling practices of certain Member States with EU law, starting in June 2013. In December 2014, the Commission extended the information inquiry into tax rulings issued by all Member States since January 1, 2010, and in June 2015 requested 15 Member States to provide detailed information on some of their rulings. Following a series of in-depth investigations, the Commission concluded that Belgium (see [ETF 271](#)), Luxembourg and the Netherlands (see [ETF 262](#)), and more recently Ireland (see [ETF 300](#) and [ETF 307](#)) and Luxembourg (see [ETF 339](#) and [ETF 372](#)) have granted selective tax advantages that are illegal under EU state aid rules.

The decision in the McDonald's case follows an in-depth investigation launched by the European Commission further to the announcement of its preliminary conclusions in December 2015 (see [ETF 264](#) and [ETF 288](#)).

The EU Commission's decision

The case concerns a Luxembourg company with a US branch to which royalties received by the company were allocated. The Luxembourg Tax Administration issued a tax ruling in 2009, according to which the royalty income of the US branch was – based on the double tax treaty with the United States – exempt from tax in Luxembourg even if this income was not subject to tax in the United States. A previous ruling had reached the same conclusion, but on the assumption that the income was subject to tax in the United States.

The European Commission concluded that the tax rulings granted by the Luxembourg Tax Administration did not constitute state aid. Although the interpretation given to the double tax treaty between Luxembourg and the United States resulted in the double non-taxation of the royalty income attributed to the US branch, the European Commission found that the treaty had not been misapplied. This is because the US based branch did fulfill all the conditions of a permanent establishment under Luxembourg tax law, even if it did not qualify as such under the US tax law.

Next steps

This decision forms part of the standard state aid investigation procedure. The non-confidential parts of the decision are expected to be published in the next few months.

On June 19, 2018, the Luxembourg government issued draft legislation, amending the domestic definition of a permanent establishment, in cases where the latter is located in a treaty country. According to the proposed bill, the treaty definition will in general prevail and a permanent establishment will be recognized if the taxpayer is engaged in an independent economic activity in the other country. In this context, the Luxembourg tax authorities will have the right to request a certificate from the foreign tax authorities with regard to the recognition of the foreign permanent establishment. This certificate will have to be provided if the tax treaty does not include any provision that would allow Luxembourg to refuse the exemption of the branch income or wealth where the other contracting state uses another provision of the tax treaty to exempt such income or wealth (so-called 'switch-over clause').

If adopted by the Luxembourg parliament, it is expected that the new provision would close existing cases of double non-taxation similar to the McDonald's case for about seventy companies, according to the European Commission.

EU Tax Centre comment

As expected in December 2015, it was questionable whether the measure could be deemed selective State aid under Article 107(1) TFEU to the extent that the Luxembourg Tax Administration had consistently applied its interpretation of the US-Luxembourg double tax treaty and similar tax treaties to all taxpayers. The European Commission has now confirmed that the double non-taxation in this case results from a mismatch between Luxembourg and US tax laws, and therefore does not constitute illegal State aid.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact [KPMG's EU Tax Centre](#), or, as appropriate, your local KPMG tax advisor.



Robert van der Jagt
Chairman, KPMG's EU Tax Centre and
Partner,
Meijburg & Co

kpmg.com/socialmedia



[Privacy](#) | [Legal](#)

You have received this message from KPMG's EU Tax Centre. If you wish to unsubscribe, please send an Email to eutax@kpmg.com.

If you have any questions, please send an email to eutax@kpmg.com

You have received this message from KPMG International Cooperative in collaboration with the EU Tax Centre. Its content should be viewed only as a general guide and should not be relied on without consulting your local KPMG tax adviser for the specific application of a country's tax rules to your own situation. The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

To unsubscribe from the Euro Tax Flash mailing list, please e-mail KPMG's EU Tax Centre mailbox (eutax@kpmg.com) with "Unsubscribe Euro Tax Flash" as the subject line. For non-KPMG parties – please indicate in the message field your name, company and country, as well as the name of your local KPMG contact.

KPMG's EU Tax Centre, Laan van Langerhuize 9, 1186 DS Amstelveen, Netherlands

© 2018 KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. Member firms of the KPMG network of independent firms are affiliated with KPMG International. KPMG International provides no client services. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.