

GMS Flash Alert

2024-085 | April 12, 2024

European Union – EU Task Force Considers Tax Implications of Remote Work

After two meetings, a European Union (EU) task force investigating the taxation of remote workers appears to be relying on a solution in which countries regulate taxation of remote workers bilaterally, it has been reported.¹ This is contrary to the proposal of the European Economic and Social Committee to work towards establishing a “one-stop-shop” for the taxation of remote workers and revenue sharing between the country of the employer’s residence and the country of the employee’s residence.²

Several participants in the EU task force reportedly believed that the right forum for solutions to the tax implications of remote working is the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD).³ The OECD is expected to take up discussion about tax issues concerning cross-border teleworking later this year where the focus may be on implications of remote working for corporate taxation (permanent establishment, PE).

WHY THIS MATTERS

It is reasonable to expect that future discussions on the topic of remote working will focus on the issue of when a home office might trigger PE and thereby attract liability to corporate taxation in the jurisdiction where that home office is located.

EU member states have different perspectives on how to address the impact of remote working on taxation. Finding solutions in this area is a difficult and sensitive balancing act. Various stakeholders, including international companies, unions, and interest organisations may have a stake in developments taking place in this area and may wish to take an active part in the discussions.

Context

The current Belgian Presidency of the EU announced their commitment to work with, among other things, taxation rules for cross-border teleworking.⁴ A task force has been looking into the taxation of remote worker and a meeting is expected to take place at the end of April.

The meetings are attended by representatives from 18 EU member states, EU Commission, OECD, Norway, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.⁵

Highlights from the Discussions in the EU Task Force

- Consequences of budgetary implications of remote working are currently not quantifiable. A general assumption is that the country of the employer is positioned more advantageously than the country of the employee's residence. However, such a conclusion will rest on applicable bilateral agreements, which have various thresholds for when taxation is triggered in the country of the employee's residence. The task force suggested that member states review their agreements and thresholds for when taxation in the country of the employee's residence is triggered.
- Reviewing thresholds is impacted by member states' different perspectives. Some member states aim to attract cross-border teleworkers while others do not have the same inclination. This could be the reason why regulating the impact of remote working on taxation has not moved to the point of adopting common rules, or even common principles for remote working, which had been a previously-stated goal.⁶
- The burden of proof regarding where the work is done may be left to local legislation, as this process varies considerably from member state to member state.

The OECD has established in the commentaries to the articles of the model treaty that the existence of a PE depends on whether home office or premises that constitute the workplace of the remote worker are at the disposal of the employer.⁷ In November 2023, the Netherlands and Belgium concluded a bilateral agreement to clarify implications of remote working on PE.⁸ It is clarified in the agreement that home-working generally does not trigger PE. However, if the employee does not have an office or other location available for work, the home office is considered to be at the disposal of the company, which can trigger PE, when all the facts and circumstances are assessed.

MEIJBURG & CO. INSIGHTS

The EU task force is scheduled to host its last meeting at the end of this month. The outcome of their work could be proposals for solutions to the taxation of remote work, but many issues remain open making such outcome difficult. It is possible that the mandate of the task force will be extended.

Regardless of their results, work done by the task force could be used for whatever work the OECD intends on this topic later this year.

Since data concerning the impact of remote work is scarce, it is important that all relevant stakeholders consider the potential impact and consider engaging with the work being done in this area.

FOOTNOTES:

- 1 See *tax notes*, [EU Talks on Remote Workers Show Progress Is Possible on PEs](#), 8 April 2024 (accessing this article may require log-in). *Please note that by clicking on this link you are leaving the KPMG website for an external site (non-KPMG, non-governmental), that KPMG is not affiliated with nor does KPMG endorse its content. Use of the external site and its content may be subject to the terms of use and/or privacy policies of its owner or operator.*
- 2 [GMS Flash Alert 2024-054](#), 13 March 2024.
- 3 See footnote 1.
- 4 Belgian Presidency of the Council of the European Union, [Programme](#), First half of 2024, p.18.
- 5 See footnote 1.
- 6 Eurofound: [Telework in the EU: Regulatory frameworks and recent updates](#), 1 September 2022, p. 64.
- 7 OECD: [Commentaries on the Articles of the Model Tax Convention](#), 2010, p. 93.
- 8 [GMS Flash Alert 2023-251](#), 21 December 2023.

* * * *

Contact us

For additional information or assistance, please contact your local GMS or People Services professional or the following professional with the KPMG International member firm in the Netherlands:



Daida Hadzic
EMA Head of Quality
Tel. +31 6 532 54 599 (m)
Hadzic.daida@kpmg.com

The information contained in this newsletter was submitted by the KPMG International member firm in the Netherlands.

© 2024 Meijburg & Co is a partnership of limited liability companies under Dutch law, is registered in the Trade Register under number 53753348 and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

www.kpmg.com

Some or all of the services described herein may not be permissible for KPMG audit clients and their affiliates or related entities.

Learn about us:



kpmg.com

© 2024 KPMG LLP, a Delaware limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. Printed in the U.S.A. USCS001250-2F

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International. The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

KPMG LLP is the U.S. firm of the KPMG global organization of independent professional services firms providing Audit, Tax and Advisory services. The KPMG global organization operates in 147 countries and territories and has more than 219,000 people working in member firms around the world.

Each KPMG firm is a legally distinct and separate entity and describes itself as such. KPMG International Limited is a private English company limited by guarantee. KPMG International Limited and its related entities do not provide services to clients.

GMS Flash Alert is a publication of the KPMG LLP Washington National Tax practice.

KPMG International Limited is a private English company limited by guarantee and does not provide services to clients. No member firm has any authority to obligate or bind KPMG International or any other member firm vis-à-vis third parties, nor does KPMG International have any such authority to obligate or bind any member firm. The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.