Boards, oversight, and the new geometry of trust

A holistic perspective

Board Leadership Center (India)

December 2025

kpmg.com/in

KPMG. Make the Difference.



Boards today operate in a landscape where expectations outpace formal guidance, and scrutiny from regulators, investors, and society is sharper than ever. The credibility of a board is no longer measured solely by compliance or structure, but by the quality of its judgment, the independence of its oversight, and the integrity of its conduct, especially under ambiguity and pressure. This document brings together the most salient insights from recent thought leadership on board effectiveness, offering a unified view on how directors can uphold trust, maintain role clarity, and build resilient governance in India's evolving corporate environment.



The evolving role of boards: From compliance to judgment

1

Boards are transitioning from periodic supervision to continuous engagement. The new standard is not just about meeting regulatory requirements but about demonstrating interpretive judgment—How do directors act when information is incomplete? How do they balance proximity to management with independence? How do they interpret risks that extend beyond financial statements?

Key expectations:		
Awareness	**Posture**	**Conduct**
Boards must be attuned to the cultural and ethical climate, early signs of misconduct, and the effectiveness of escalation pathways.	Directors are expected to demonstrate independence, skepticism, and interpretive judgment, especially during high-pressure events.	Decisions must align with governance discipline, both in crisis and in routine oversight.

Stakeholders now assess boards by the questions they ask, the tone they set, and the confidence their oversight inspires. The discipline of questioning, consistency in follow through, and the ability to link financial and non-financial performance are now central to board value.

Balancing oversight and independence

2

A persistent paradox for boards is the need to understand management's reality without becoming part of management. This tension is heightened in organisations with strong founder or promoter influence. The most effective boards design 'informed independence' combining deep understanding with objectivity

© 2025 KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP, an Indian Limited Liability Partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

Key expectations:

- Clear delegation of authority and direct access to assurance functions.
- Strengthening the board's collective awareness of nuanced influences in communication and decision-making helps safeguard the integrity of independent oversight.
- Private sessions and structured peer reviews to safeguard judgment integrity.
- Establish a risk-based funding model, supported by a dedicated team and robust framework, to deliver clear, prioritised risk summaries to the board ensuring focus on the most critical issues.
- Record and document board discussions held in pre-committee meetings even if they do not follow formal secretarial standards to ensure all relevant deliberations and key messages are captured for future reference.

True independence is not about distance, but clarity of motive visible in how directors ask questions, handle dissent, and respond to incomplete answers. Independence is demonstrated in behaviour, not just declared in reports.

Maintaining the oversight boundary

3

Boards risk overstepping when urgency or a desire for clarity draws them into operational detail. The strongest boards maintain role clarity by questioning deeply, directing nothing, and using posture rather than operational involvement to uphold trust.

Signs of overreach:

01	Oversight conversations drifting into operational detail
02	Directors reviewing raw evidence instead of assurance
03	Committees shaping remedial steps rather than setting frameworks

Guardianship, not investigation:
Boards should channel information collection through management or independent assurance, use external reviewers for sensitive matters, and focus on themes and patterns rather than operational tasks. The board's responsibility is to oversee the integrity of processes, not to run investigations or direct management actions.

© 2025 KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP, an Indian Limited Liability Partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

Seeing risks before they surface

4

The modern risk environment is dynamic, with threats often originating in culture and behavior rather than numbers. Effective boards develop situational awareness recognizing when familiar patterns change and interpreting weak signals before risks crystallise.

Internal signals to watch

- Delays in implementing audit or risk actions
- Management confidence not supported by evidence
- Selective disclosure or narrowing of information
- Shifts in employee sentiment or integrity concerns.

External signals to watch

- Increased adverse media or stakeholder commentary
- Defensive or delayed responses to regulators
- Early signs of activism or stakeholder questioning.

Audit and risk committees are evolving from reviewers of reports to interpreters of signals, blending traditional oversight with early warning systems that identify anomalies in culture, tone, or responsiveness.

Building resilient boards: Foresight, culture, independence, and reputation

5

Resilience is the defining test of board effectiveness—the ability to withstand scrutiny, adapt to disruption, and sustain legitimacy through change.

Four enablers of resilience

Foresight
Scenario planning and structured dialogue with external experts to remain alert to emerging risks

Culture
Normalising dissent, rewarding transparency, and valuing candor to surface risks early

Credible independence
Constructive challenge, balanced collegiality, and regular evaluations to reinforce trust

Reputation stewardship
Managing both performance and perception, ensuring consistency between words and actions

Boards must rethink how they consume information, insisting on narrative integration where committee insights feed into a cohesive governance story. Reputation is now a form of governance capital built slowly, eroded quickly.

© 2025 KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP, an Indian Limited Liability Partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved.

Whistleblower engagement and public communication

Whistleblower matters highlight the importance of process integrity. Boards must oversee, not direct, investigations ensuring independence, timely communication, and substantive resolution of concerns.

Public communication requires restraint. Statements must rest on verified facts, align with board-reviewed information, and express accountability without defensiveness. The connection between internal review and external communication is central to reputational resilience.

Common missteps

- Treating allegations as minor without credible assessment
- Allowing management to narrow the scope of review
- Slow or unclear communication with complainants
- Incomplete documentation of judgments
- Procedural closure without addressing substantive concerns

Conclusion: The discipline of trust

Indian corporate governance is entering a phase where board behaviour, not structure, defines trust. The new geometry of trust requires sharper sense making, not additional process. Directors must interpret patterns, question silences, and act decisively when confidence is at risk. Trust is preserved through consistent behaviour, visible integrity, and a steady commitment to role clarity.

Boards that treat oversight as a living discipline combining independence, proximity, and foresight helps sustain credibility and strengthen corporate trust across the economy.



KPMG in India contact

Ritesh Tiwari
Partner
Board Leadership Center
E: riteshtiwari@kpmg.com

kpmg.com/in

Follow us on:

kpmg.com/in/socialmedia

The information contained herein is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP, Lodha Excelus, Apollo Mills Compound, NM Joshi Marg, Mahalaxmi, Mumbai - 400 011 Phone: +91 22 3989 6000, Fax: +91 22 3983 6000.

© 2025 KPMG Assurance and Consulting Services LLP, an Indian Limited Liability Partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights re served.

The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

This document is for e-communication only.